JA .... The antidote proved a Counterfeit By Havenla, Collins 1693

THE

# ANTIDOTE

Proved A

#### COUNTERFEIT

OR,

ERROR DETECTED,

AND

## Believers Baptism VINDICATED.

Containing

An Answer to a Nameless Author's Book, Entituled, An Antidote to prevent the Prevalency of Anabaptism.

By HERCULES COLLINS of Wappin.

LONDON,

Printed for William Marshall, at the Bible in Nemgate-Street, where is to be Sold Mr Knowles's Exposition on the whole book of the Revelation, price bound 2 s. 6 d. And Mr. Bunyan's Works in Folio, price bound 14. And Mr. Claridges Looking Glass for Princes, price bound 6 d. And likewise most of Dr. Omen's and Mr. Beverley's Works, MDCXCIII.

### Preface to the Unbyas'd Reader.

TE live in a divided and opinionative Age, and mens sentiments of things, for the most part, being as various as their Complexions; Books have their Dooms, according to the different apprehensions of their Readers. Some Tracts have been applauded more for the Name of the Writers, or Opinion they efpouse, than for any substantial Worth to be found in them: Other are too often rejected and decry'd, because their Authors are not of their Perswasion; tho they have Plain Scripture, the most Authentick Evidence for any Gospel-Doctrine or Practise, to plead for Some are the better treated for their Learned and Polite Styles, tho they want that which should give them just recommendation, namely, Truth, while Other which have it on their fide, meet with hard usage; and that (which is to be lamented) from Good Men too, because not set off with those Artificial Embellishments, which gain so fast upon a Fanciful Generation, that Rhetorical Paint passeth for true Beauty.

But if thou art such an One, as the Preface calls thee, an Unby ast Reader; One who art fully and solely for God, not regarding the Names or Writings of Men, any further than they accord with the Revealed word of God; if thou art free to receive Truth, for its own sake, and resolvest to hearken only to him that speaketh from Heaven; then may it he hoped, this Vindication of Believers Baptism will find a cordial Welcome with thee.

Thou canst not, if thou hast read anything, be wholly a stranger to the Controversy about the Proper Subjects and Right Administration of Baptism; between those that are for Sprinkling, or Pouring

The Preface to the Unbyas'd Reader.

Pouring of Water upon Infants, and those that Dip or Plunge under Water the whole Body of Professing Believers only. I do confess on the one hand, tis sad to consider what strife there is for Victory; but on the other, Trejoyce to see Christ and his Truch Triumph, as they do in Believers Baptilin. Great has been the Opa position made against this Holy Ordinance; but greater has been the Defence of it. Every Age hath afforded some Testimonies for this Truth, but now we are incompassed with a Cloud of VVitnesses. Never was any Point more fully prov'd or better clear'd than this has been. I hat one would think our Opposites. should either alter their mistaken Prastice, or be wholly silent.

But since they still go on in their Error, and cease not to argue de gainst this Ordinance, 'tis necessary at should be guarded from the

Attempts of its As ailants.

The worthy Author of the ensuing Discourse has Travelled formerly, with good success, in this Field of Controversy; and now with no less, against him who calls his Book, An Amidoce to prevent the Prevalency of Anabaptism, esc. Here thou hast the Cavils and Objections of the Adversary, answer'd; Infants, or little Children provid to have no Habitual Faith; the diffolution of the Old Covenant? State demonstrated, and the Infants of Believers to have no Right as the Seed of Such, to Holy Baptism. In award, the Antidote is throughly examined, and proved to be a Counterfeit.

I shall add no more, but my hearty recommendation of this Book to thy Reading, desiring of the Lord, that thou mayst so Read as to Understand, and so Understand that thou mayst Practice.

London, 20th. of the sth. Month, 1693.

Thy Souls well wisher in the Lord our Righteousness. Apostles

whole )

Clouds?

et him

hink i

million

concer

we shall

Richard Claridge.

#### A Plain and Impartial Inquiry into the Antidote, and upon Examination found a Counterfeit.

s for this VVic

beriet

Oppolies

argue 4

from the

elled for

וויש שוחון

prevent

he capill

Children

Co venant

Right

idore is

feite Book

Readw

Aice

her in h

tech/m

Jee.

ULD any have expected less then that this Gentleman's Book, in the Body of it should have answered the Title-Page, namely, Infant Battism Vindicated; which indeed proves nothing but a Bravado, and flourish; for if it be Vindicated, it must be either because Christ commanded it, or some of his Apostles practised it; some commended for its Observation, or others reproved for its Neglect; but not one word of all this appears in his whole Discourse: Then how can Infant Baptism be Vindicated? Also he comes as short in the other part of the Title, An Antidote to prevent the Spreading of Anabattism, he means Believers Battism. Can he stop the course of the Sun, the ebbing and flowing of the Water? Can he number the Clouds and Stars, or weigh the Wind, and the Fire, or the great Mountains? Then he may answer his Title. What! is this Man resolved to fet himself in a posture of War against God and his Word? Doth he think in this to prosper? Will he endeavour to prevent the spreading of that which our Lord Jelus Christ hath given his Ministers in Commission to spread? He seems to be grieved at our Translation of a Book concerning Baptism, from English into Welch; but if this be to be Vile, we shall be more Vile. What can be expected when a Man shall be an Advocate against the Truth?

HE tels us of some few Reflections upon some remarkable Passages in a Book of H. C. of Wapping. What should be the Reason this Gentleman did not answer that Book? He hath not said any thing to the Argument of it; but pickt a little here, and a little there, which he thought he could deal withal; but the Argument of the Book is untoucht. calls them, a few Reflections; he may well call them a few; for in 139 Pages he hath not spoken to as much Matter as Three Pages contain. As for Argument, in his Book, it is fo little, that I was dead to the answering of it a long time, not fearing his making many Profelytes to Pedo baptism, nor hinder the spreading of the Truth, tho he did his Endeavour; but the Book being fo full of Scurrility, base and abusive Language, and he being, as it is to be seared, blinded with Prejudice, when he wrote it, could not see his Evil; therefore these sew Lines are intended, in the room of an Oculist, to open his Eyes to behold his Error in Judgment and Practice, before he leaves the World. I have in my Book laid down several Arguments to prove the right Mode or Manner of the Administration of Baptism to be by Dipping, not Sprinkling, and that the Subjects are to be Understanding Believers, not Ignorant Infants. I have spoken to above 20 Objections, but he doth not pretend to give the least Answer to either, what should be the Reason that he did not take Paragraph by Paragraph, and answer it fairly, like a man of Sence and Reason. Some are ready to argue thus, and they cannot help it; It is either because he could not, or would not. Not because he would not, for that would argue little warmth for his own way, yea, a kind of betraying his Cause. I will conclude he is more true to his own Principle; therefore some say Ergo, in the Negative, he could not; but if he can, the Press is yet open for him, or any other: and if he be conscious to himself he cannot respond to it, let him fall in with the Counsel therein given.

AS for the Eight Queries, they are reducible to Five, because the 4th, 7th and 8th, are all of one import. As for the major part of those Queries, they affect not us; only he makes a Man of Straw, and fights with at, or his own hadow. 'Tis certainly an Argument of profound Confidence, for a man to pretend to the World the discovery of the Errors of a People whose Principles he knows no more, comparatively, than I know Utopia; or else why doth he begin with this question, Whether it be possible for children dying in their Infancy, to be faved? But if it be not from Ignorance, then it is from a worse Root; for it's apparent enough that he labours to infinuate unto the world, as if we imagined no Infants dying in their Infancy unbaptized could be faved; but if his Book were well considered, he rather deserves Austin's Title, the hard Father of Infants. Now, tho I know none of another mind, but that Children must stand in the Day of Judgment before God's Tribunal, yet his Topicks and Mediums, Ithink, are not found to prove it by: He quotes a Text which tells us, That every person shall be judged according to his Works. This Text cannot affect little Infants, which have no Works, good nor bad. Was the Scripture written for the sake of Infants, or the Adult? Was not this written to the end Men should look to their Works, that they might give a good Account. It is well this Man is not the Peoples Eyes, for if he were, I fear they would be all quickly with him in the Ditch of Errot: What! because Rev. 20. 12, saith, I sam the dead small and great fland before God; that therefore the Small here are little Infants. The latter is exegetical of the former, every one being judged according to his Works, argues, That the small are either the poor opposed to the rich in the world, or those not come to the persection of Men, in opposition to them which were, yet knew good and evil, so were judged every one according to his Works. Those in this Text are judged according to their Works; but little Infants have no Works, good nor bad; therefore they cannot be here intended.

by Fait

are far

layed

Part 34

meth

ved b

have

Notic

the m

an un

ventu

ums,

bring blold

Detati

fancs;

ter pa

and n

ned ;

Upor

Dam

Fude

pall

the

apor

केति कि कि वि

A SI E

是是是是要

aid down

he Admir

the Sub-

ve spoken A Antwel

becauselo

would at

his Caula

e somesay

is is yet o

he cannor

ife the 4th

hose Cue

fight will

ind Conf

e Errors of

Whellow that ough that infants dy infants dy

nor Was
they
chat Eyese
ples Eyese

Ditch of

l and great

he latter

the laths the to the polition

very one

THE fecond Overy is, Whether Infants are faved by any other may than by Faith in the Imputed Righteousness of Christ? In page 5, he afferts Infants are faved by Habitual Faith in the Lord Jesus Christ; That Infants are fayed by Christ, we have afferted, because we know of no other Name but Jesus; but that they are saved by Faith; Habitual Faith in Christ; I. must confess I never read it in all the Book of God; I wish he could shew agraph by me the Chapter where Christ said any such thing, That Infants are Saved by Habitual Faith: What! would this Man make a New Bible, have a new Rule to tell us of things never heard of? Had he the Notion by Inspiration he should have told us so. Now I do not wonder. the man is so well pleased with an unscriptural Baptism, when he afferts an unscriptural way of saving Infants; and yet this Gentleman will adventure to prove this in such a way, and by such Topicks and Mediums, that both the Universities could hardly ever think of; for he brings, to make good this Thesis, all those Scriptures which speak of the absolute necessity of the Adult, of Men and Womens Regeneration, and believing, and applies them all unto little Intants; as that in Mark 16. 16. He that believeth, and is baptized, shall be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned. (We have not left out the latter part of the Words now, for I remember the false charge.) But to ly, than ! this Text in Mark, when Christ gave a Commission to his Apostles to go into all the World to preach the Gofpel, and to inform them, If they believed, and were baptized, they frould be fined, but if they believed not they should be damned; were they to preach this to little Infants? That they were to believe upon the penalty of Damnation; (I do not fay, baptized upon the penalty of Book were herof In. Damnation, tho you have unwarily afferted some of us are like the Tews in Judea, who afferted no Circumcifion no Salvation, fo no Baptism no herol must dren must Topicks Topicks Topicks Topicks This es a This had not Was Salvation) But to our business, it seems Ministers are bound to go into the World, and preach to Infants as well as the Adult that they believe upon the penalty of Damnation; for this is the great Text brought once and again for Infants Baptism, because they have faith; but how came they by it? was it by hearing the word preached, according to the Commission in that place? No, no, faith the good man, 'tis habitual Faith inspired. Why then doth he bring this Text? because he is almost at his Wits end, and famished for want of Arguments, and so will catch at any thing.

PRAY take one argument to think on before we go further. If none are here intended in Mark 16. but Men and Women Capable to Hear, and a-Etually to Believe the Gospel of Christ, then Infant Habitual Faith is not bere intended; but the former is true, therefore the Latter (you fee the mans great Bullwark is thrown down at ouce.) It is a wonder to me that a man who hath been so long a profest Christian should be so long ignorant of the word of God; what was the Scripture Calculated and written for? to be preached to them? why then did he not carry his children when Infants to hear the word? if he faith no, the Gospel was not

B 2

written

written for Infants, why then doth he bring that Text that hath no manner of relation to Infants, but only to the Adult? You are in the pound Sir, get out if you can. Then he comes with a home question; But may some say bom shall me know that Infants have habitual faith? How shall we know it indeed? for my part I cannot tell how I with this Gentleman could ell me; for he hath oftentimes afferted no Faith no Salvation, neither for Old or Young. But how do you think he Answers this question? by asking another, and as weakly answering it; How do me know any children, faith he, are faved but by the Judgment of charity, and some Scriptural Intimations? Ah, poor man, this had been better in then out, by some Scriptual Intimations: pray give us some Scriptual Intimations that Infants have faith, and we will say no more. Your bringing a Prophecy of Christ out of the 12 Pfalm 9, 10. will not do it, and though I give you all you can desire that it may also be applied unto David, it signifieth nothing to the point; Thou didst make me hope when upon my mother's breasts; that is, thou didst give me sufficient ground for hope and trust if I had been capable of acting that grace and v. 10. I was cast upon thee from the womb, thou art my God from my mothers belly; that is, I was like one for faken by his parents and cast wholly upon thy Providence; see our late Annotations. But for all this Infants have habitual Faith, saith our Antagonist, but his arguments are so feeble that maintain it, that it's like a tottering Fence; well, but what if I should ask, what do you mean by Habitual Faith I think there cannot be less in the word than this, that they have an Inclination to believe, and that they have Power, Might, Ability to believe, and if it be fo, what is the reason they do not believe? furely if they have Power, Strength and Ability to believe, is it not reasonable they should be damned if they do not believe? whichare his own words, page 17 no Faith, no Salvation neither for Young nor Old, If by Habit he meant an Inclination to believe, a disposition to believe, then let him prove they have such a disposition; and what it is that hinders their believing; we say a person that is disposed to a thing, hath power actually to do it. If he do not understand the meaning of the word habitual, why did he write it? was it to confound the Ignorant with the word Infant-seed of believers being in Covenant; what is meant by being in Covenant? there are thousands of themselves know nothing of it. Seeing they do not mean the Election of Grace for my part Ithink Fransubstantiation, habitual Faith, and the Infant seed of believers in the covenant are terms equally allowable and probably equally understood among their various Professors; this habitual Faith in Infants is much of the likeness of our Athenian affertion, that Infants have faith Potentia, tho not Actu visibli; so you say they have habitual, though not actual vilible Faith; for my part I think it calls for a prodigious Faith for any man to believe Inlants have faith potentia, or habitual; all you have done is only begging when you should have been a digging : But I think you have given away your Cau'e, and habitual Faith too, in Page 26. in these words, God is a free Agent, and bestoms his Gifts of Grace

and A boun for it What oulne 80. r my s by cl

man. heren tph. bould promis. 16, 16 For in

Faith n added justifie ! 3, 38. Lam. Were Infant : said he ha

tivel Infall offend were Stel Infar in of

Whic Wick CLSCI a min ed wi

ならの TO CO

and Mercy upon whom he pleaseth. May not an earthly King bestow his bounty upon a poor dumb Cripple that can neither ask nor go to him for it, no, nor return him vocal thanks? Is not this to allow and fay what I have faid, That Infants are happy through the imputed righteousness of Christ; tho as poor dumb Cripples that can neither ask nor go, nor return thanks? So that tho in effect he faith, as I do, yet calls my Sentence a strange Sentence, which afferts Infants fins are done away by the Imputation of Christs Righteousness, page 18. And would any man, but one void of common tense urge such Scriptures for Infant inherent Faith, as Rom. 3. 26. God is the justifier of him that believeth in Jesus, Eph. 2. 8, 9. By Grace are ye saved through Faith, not of works, lest any man (hould boast, Gal. 3. 22. Fut the Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that the promise by Faith of Fesus Christ might be given them which believe. And Mark 16. 16. He that believeth, and is baptifed, shall be saved. And Gal. 6. 15. For in Jesus Christ neither circumcision nor Uncircumcision availeth any thing, but Faith which morketh by Love or a new Creature. And he might as well have added 1 Cor. 7. But the keeping of the Commands of God, Rom. 5. 1. being Justified by Faith, we have teace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ, Rom. 3. 28. Therefore we conclude a Man is Justified by Faith without the deeds of the Lam. Doth this man think in his Conscience that ever these things were written or Preached unto Infants, or in any sense intended that Infants should have the comfort of it; if not, why are all these Scriptures applyed uncothem, when God never intended it for them? I hope he hath been taught better to Expound the Scripture; But still he positively afferts Infants have Faith, and do believe, and proves it by two Infallible Witnesses, as he thinks, the one is Mark 9. 42. And who so shall offend one of those little ones which believe in me, it were better for him a milstone were hanged about his neck and cast into the Sea. These little ones he afferts are In ants; but I would fain know, had I a mind to offend a believing Infant, how should I know which were him? There is no more appears in one Infant than another. Secondly, Men must know such a one which owns Christ, for it is because he owns Christ that any of the wicked offend him: But how shall I know the Infant with his Inherent Grace and Faith, what Family he is in, where he dwells, should I have a mind to offend him? Again, how shall the Infant know I am offended with him for his believing in Christ? Mark, Reader, the verse before, Who soever shall give a Cup of Water to drink in my Name, because they belong to Christ, shall not lose his remard. Then the next words are, And whosoever shall offend one of these little ones which believe in me. So that you see these little ones Christ speaks of are such as go under the notion of Christ's Disciples, making a visible profession; and if we do the least kindness for them we shall not lose our reward. But how shall I know which Infant it is that hath habitual Faith that stands in need of this Cup of Water? It cannot discover its own thirst, and so the poor Infant may Die, though I had a mind to telieve it. The Eglitechth

1ath 110 mil e pound ut may fill e know! in could ither is of

in? by asti children, 12 il Intimo ornal land ve faith, out of the

can dell , the poll ou didits facting! God from pholly upon! nave habit

le that mall ld ask, whi in the wor realon her

bility to be not believe? not belt ar for Young for Young for young for the half it is that a things the aning of the half is what

ifelyes know

for thy pass

of bell ut equally us in Jarans have sale in Jarans prodisions prodisions abitualing abitualing a disping a disping

aith 1000 pe

on, Wid

'as in

e Chr.

whe

'tells

s posi

lon 'the

'Nat

e is a

fery

gree

Pow,

natio

Page together Fair

obto,

e Pen

, the

shall's

Infar

cepts

386

char

him

Fores

Vena

Leve

State

pey pag

all

801

Eighteenth of Matthew, is brought in by Christ upon the same account to abate the pride of the Disciples who were discoursing; who should be greatest and be the highest in Heaven; upon this Christ calls a little Child, and tells them, except they were Spiritually what that Child was Naturally, in Meekness, Humility, free from Revenge and Pride, They could not enter into the Kingdom of Heaven. So he goes on, whose shall offend one of those little ones which believe in me; not the little Infant or Child called and fet in the midst, but those Believers that were like them in Humility, wrought by Faith in Christ; for had la mind to please or displease an Infant with his habitual Faith, I know not where to find him; and furely our Lord did not speak of such who were never like to be known: Oh, when will you cease to pervert the right word of the Lord? How difingenuous are you in laying, I owned they were Infant Children, but would not own they believed? My, speaking those words was not from those Scriptures, but two others, namely, Luke 18. and Matt 19. See my book, page 35. 36 where Chil-. dren were brought to Christ to be touched; from whence some infer they, were Baptized. But I need not wonder you are fo unfair with me, when you are fo with the very Word of God, when God faith, Acts 16. 34. That the Jaylor believed in God with all his House; you positively say, here we read of none but the Jaylor which did believe, and yet notwithstanding, say you, his whole Family was Baptized. I hope, Sir, you believe there is a Judg. Mr. Charnock speaking of an Habir, tells us, It is an inward Frame enabling one to Ast readily and easily, as when an Artificer hath the habit of a Trade; the new Creature consists in gracious qualities and habits, which beautify and dispose the Soul to act righteoufly and holily: Hence habits are as Seeds which make the Earth capable to bring forth good Fruit; but what good Fruit hath an Infant with all his habitual grace? This habit, faith he, is exprest by a fountain of Living Water springing up to Eternal Life. Page 75. Some fay indeed that Regeneration is conferred in Baptism upon the Elect, and exerts it self afterwards in Conversion; but how so active a principle as a Spiritual Life should lie dead or a sleep so long, even many years, which intervenebetween Baptism and Conversion, is not easily Conceivable. Where these habits are, there is a Spirit of Love, of Grace, whereby as their understandings are possest with a knowledg of the Excellencies of his ways, fo their wills are seasoned by the power of this Habit: And further, as Christ had a Body prepared him to do the work of a Mediator, so the Soul hath a habit prepared to do the work of the new Creature, as the corrupt Nature is the habit of fin, so the new Nature is the habit of Grace; God doth not only call us to Believe, Love, Obey, but brings in the Grace of Faith and Love and Obedience; this habit receives various Denominations, either from the Subject, or Object, tis subjectively in the Essence of the Soul; but as it shows it felf in the Understanding 'tis called the know-· ledg

n the in

e disco n this C

So he s

nor the

Believers

of fach

t two or!

where Ch

meinfer

me intel

with

the Action

ith, Action

ith

"ledg of God; as 'tis in the Will, 'tis a choice of God; as in the Affection, 'tis a motion to God; and from the Object it is diversified; as it closes with Christ Dying, 'tis Faith; as it rejoyces in Christ Living, it is Love; as it lies arthe Feet of Christ, it is Humility; as it observes the Will of Christ, it is Obedience; as it submissto Christ afflicting, it is patience; as ritually of it regards Christ offended it is grief; yet all ariseth from one habit; bur where doth any of these things appear in the Infants habitual Paith; he tells you further, where the habit of true Grace is, there is a ready difpolition to every good work, because Seminally in every renewed person -And, saith he, as 'tis ready in respect of disposition, so it is in for had the activity of motion; yea, 'tis naturally active according to its divine Nature, moreover'tis voluntarily active, where these habits are there is a kind of natural necessity of motion from life and habit; yea'tis fervently active, the nobler the Being of any thing is, the greater degree of activity it is attended with; yea, 'tis unboundedly active, also powerfully active, easily active, and pleasantly active, the entire inclinations of the Soul stands right to good actions. Mr. Charnock, 2 vol. page 85. to 94. Now let all those Characters of Habitual Grace be put together, and hen consider whether any of these things can affect little Infants. I hope by this time you have enough of Infants Habitual Faith. I will only add this further, page 94. There is in this habit an orderly motion and activity, and a permanent activity, a Spring of perand yet his petual motion, ever unto everlasting Life; how then can all the Intant Seed of Believers have this Habitual Faith, when so many of them make their Parents Hearts to ake? This is like Mr. Maras when as a series in grant to a series in grant to a series in that has a series in the series in shall's Notion in his Sermon before the Parliament, God doth Seal the Infant presently, and puts their name into the Deed, and that God accepts of such a Seal on their parts as they are able to give in their Infant age, expecting a further Ratification when they come to riper years, and that in the mean time affords them the favour of being in Covenant with him, and that the furety of the New Covenant is pleased to be their furety, yet faith, when grown men, they may refuse to stand to this Covenant and nullify all : Oh amazing! How inconsistent is this with perfo active severance? Take this Argument for a close of your second Query, and Anong, is not from Love to know had by the Iwer it, Those Children of Believers which Die in an Unregenerate State, either never had the Habits of Grace, or else if they had them, they have lost them; But there is no losing Habits of Grace; Ergo, they never had them. If you fay they had them, and have lost them, that oned by h is against your own principle about perseverance : If you fay they never had them, then you contradict your own Book, which afferts, That oned eyes Prepara is the one oth not one oth points e of faits e of the ence of the the delay all the Infants of Believers have it, and therefore you Baptize them : get out of this Prison as well as you can.

FOR your third Query, What if I allow all Believers are wholly passive in Regeneration, and can no more Regenerate themselves, than a rough Stone can form it self into the Image of a Monarch. What is all this to your purpose? Doth it follow from hence that Infants have

Faith

10 b

10

befor

Sons &

be B

You Perf

1 ma

per

Belie

is mo

to the

broken

Baptill of the

Mark

Mas II

Chock Petfor

Same

the or

Blood

this :

ment

Politi

know

Bapei

oppol

Dame

Brank Diving

them.

Circun Brown

Batily fit:

fition

cumo 6 20

BI

Faith ? Is this a concluding Argument? Surely no; Pray Sir go to School and learn better Logick, for this is no Argument in Barbara: There is no more, say you, in Children to hinder Grace, than in the Adult : Ergo, they believe, (what think you Sir, is this good Arguing) Christ can raise of stones Children unto Abraham, Ergo, stones believe, or Scones are wholly passive, and have nothing to hinder Regeneration, Ergo, Stones are Regeneration ed; When you bring better Topicks and Mediums to prove your Argument by, more may be faid to it; but you may think I have faid too much to discover your Ignorance of Divine things already. Here we may give a hint to your fifth Query, Whether Children may not have the Golden Oyl of God's Free Grace, as well as Adult persons? Answer, What little Infants have, or what they may have, lieth not within our Sphere; secret things belong unto God, Revealed things unto us; but the question is, how this Gentleman will prove that infants have this grace which qualifieth them for Baptifin; my neighbour is capable of being King of Vtopia, Ergo he is king of Vtopia, doth this Conclude? the Scripture faith Men and Women ought to believe who are capable of knowing good and evil; therefore infants do believe who know neither good norevil. Your 4th, 7th, 8th. Queries look one way, and we do affert infants may be fit for the Kingdom of God, as our Lord hath faid; and yet not qualified for Gospel Ordinances: Can it be shewed that ever our Lord Instituted Gospel Ordinances for Infants: Could it be made appear that Infants have Repentance, Faith, and are taught of God, have the answer of a good Conscience prosessedly, they would have a Right to the Ordinance of Baptism; but this Logick will never pass the Schools. My Child shall be heir to my Inheritannee, ergo, he is capable of understanding the signing and scaling of it at eight days old; had you said he had been capable of understanding the sealing this Estate over unto him at One and Twenty, it had carried more of Truth and Reason in it. Why are Children denyed the Lords supper if fit for baptism? what, are Children believers, and kept from the Lord's Table? do we ever read it was denied to a true Believer? what, are Children New Creatures, Regenerated, Born again, and deny them the Elements of Bread and Wine: Oh! hard Father of Infants, Men as wife as your felf gave Infants the Eucharift for several hundred years, from some of your own Topicks, and will you deny it them; all your long harangue about Infants being capable of one Ordinance, and not of another, is but wind; will you fay in the Ordinance of the Lord's Supper, there must be inherent Grace, and also Grace exercised; but a state of Grace, tho not exercised, say you, is sufficient for Baptism; But how doth this Gentleman know Infants are in a state of Grace to qualifie them for Baptilm? the word doth not help him here, and if he conclude so from Charity, then there is the same reason to have the same Charity for Children of Unbelievers, and more too some rimes, because the Believers (hild often falls from a pretended Covenant, State, Faith, Inherent Holiness, New Birth, Regeneration, when the Unbelievers.

Idult:

in ic. when direct read it es. Reger divined with the Entre sthe E

s the and bicks and bicks and allo

ovenant, che Une

believers Child abides in all Truly. But how comes this Gentleman go to School fo bold as to Affert and Print, That Actual Grace is not necessary to Baptism? Did not Philip call for Actual Grace of the Eunuch before Baptism? If thou Believest thou mayest: How then can Perolly paths Sons be wholly Passive in Baptism? Did the Eunuch go down into the Water, and come up out of the Water? Did Christ go almost Forty Miles to Registral be Baptised, and did it as an AET of Righteousness, and yet wholly Passive? If your Ars you can shew me another Bible where Christ since hath said, Persons need not Actual Faith, and are wholy Passive in Baptism, Here I may change my mind. Whereas he doth Magnify the Lord's Supay not so per so much above Baptism; I do Affert what ever is Sealed to a ? Ant Believer in one Ordinance, is Sealed to him in another; nay, there within is more particular words of Incouragement added unto Baptilm, than to usib to the other: 'Tis true, 'tis all comprehended in this, This is my Body broken for you, and my Blood shed for the Remission of your Sins, but for able of Baptism in Acts 2. you have the promise of Remission of Sins and gift lude ! 0 of the Holy Ghost: In Acts 22. 'tis faid to Wash away Sins Symbolically, capable Mark 16. Salvation is annexed unto Faith and Baptism; the Lord's Supper ow neith was never to Dignified as paptifm by the Procession of the Holy Lord hall Ghost; and it is a Symbol of Regeneration and Justification, Rom. 6 Do I see my Sins Pardoned, my Person Justified, God Reconciled, my Person Sanctified, Heaven affured at the Lord's Supper? I behold the same in Baptism, there I see my Sins Buried in his Grave, as in newed had be back for a Kisht see a Kisht see a Kisht see a chuos see a dider the other Nailed to his Cross; here I behold my Soul Washed in his Blood, Justified by his Righteousness, Saved by his Death; and is not this a Marriage Feast? And ought I not to have the Wedding Garof under ou faid he unto Way ment now as at the Table of the Lord?

BUT this Gentleman tells me, I have Written several strange Positions; I am not assamed the World should see them: You must know one of the first Arguments of the Church of Rome for Infants Baptism, is, That it Washt away Original Sin: Now because I say in opposition to that, we know a better way to Wash away Original Sin, namely, The Imputation of Christ's Righteousness: This is one of my strange Positions. But pray which is most strange, this, or the applying all those Texts, which speak of Regenerated Men and Women unto little Infants, and what they speak of the necessity of Faith in them, to apply it unto Babes, who neither know Good nor Evil.

adly, ANOTHER strange Position is, because I say, That as Circumcission was the Door into the Jewish Church, which was National; so Baptism is the Door into the Gospel Church, which is Congregational: Now a flattor this being true in his own Opinion; why fould it be a strange Position? Why, Because I will not allow, it came in the room of Cirrealing to cumcifion: Cannot I make two Doors to my House, but one must be a Type of another? Or rather, Cannot I pull down my Old

Houle

and :

and F

Put a

though

the P

idos

35 po

God

000 (

them

Pract

Odio

TOUSE

de ca

from wor

rine

quis

Page Print Pra

WeHe

Pir

We Or

House and Build a New; but my Door of my First House must be a Type of the Door of my last. The Door of God's Gospel-House is larger than the Door of his Legal House; that Door was only large enough for the Jews, and few poor Proselyted Gentiles; but the Golpel Dor entertains all commers, Jews, Gentiles, Barbarians, Sythians: So that the First Door could not be an Exemplar or Type of the Last; Then only Males were Circumcifed; now Males and Females Believ. ing may be Baptised; therefore Circumcision could not be the shadow of Baptism; the Subjects that enter the Door are not the same; one are Ignorant Infants, the other Persons of Understanding. Under the Law the Subjects were wholly Passive, but now Church Members must be Active in the Operations and Acts of Repentance and Faith; nor only those called Conformists, but the Non-Conformists also have lost the Door, and the Subject that should enter the Door; for here are they wholly Passive, when they should be Active; so that the very Essence of the Ordinance is lost; here is neither right Form, nor right Subject, and what are they that enter not in by the Door, but climb up and come in some other way into the House?

READER, consider whether this saying of mine be so strange as his, which he hath put in two Mercuries, shewing I was not the Author of his Book, which I would not have been for the World: He tells the People, I was never guilty in Writing nor Preaching of any such Extensive Evangelical Truths: Is not this a piece of Arrogance with a witness? How hath he exposed his Profession and Name to the World? besides he knows not what my Preaching is, nor hath Read, I suppose, a third of what is written; so that he speaks in the dark. And whereas he saith, I never was guilty of Writing or Preaching such things, I hope I never shall. Again, Is not that a strange Position of his, page 25. Because I say, John Baptist required, Matt. 3. of the Pharifes and Sadduces Repentance from dead Works, in order to qualific them for Baptism and Gospel Church Membership; every Tree, saith the Text, that brings not forth good

Fruit is bewen down, and cast into the Fire.

HE from hence concludes, and tells the World, that my judgment is, That little Infants, because they cannot bring forth good Fruit, must be cut down by the Ax of Divine Wrath, and cast into Eternal Fire: and faith, I and my party are for saving Infants, by and for good Works. Did ever man speak more impertinently, and wrong his Antagonist more unreasonably? What, cannot I speak to the Adult and say, Unless you Repent you shall all perish, but I must intend Infants who are not capable of it; and except you believe, you shall be damned? And when I say, He that soms to the slesh, shall of the slesh reap corruption, but must intend Infants: Surely the Doctrine of the Gospel was never Calculated for Infants; these Lines of his must be Written through Ignorance, Inadvertency or worse; ho vever it was, he ought to do his Neighbour right by a publick Recantation;

nust be a

-House is

it lie Go.

and Fall

Form, b

e Door,

faith ford

eafonably in you had a said the said th

s to the Dolly the of Lines of the

ho rein

and also of that in page 24. where he saith, I smell rank of Rome, Popery, and Free Will, because in my Book I give a reproof to the Seekers who put a slight upon the Blessed Ordinances. See page 60, 61, 62. I little izly large thought he would have been an Advocate for them As to my Judgment, about Justifying Faith, it is well known both from the Pulpit and from Sylvans ! the Press; but this is like the rest of his Writing, who labours all he can to blacken the ways of God, and render the Professors of it as odious ales Believ as possible, or else he would never have raked into the Grave of one be the Ar Godly Minister who hath been Dead almost twenty Years: He mentit the fatt. ons several who have Apostatized from the Truth, Judas being one of ag. Under them, Accumulates the Errors of some in Principles, and others in Practice, and jumbles them all together to make the name of a Baptist Odious; nay, Publisheth one particular passage of a Faithful Minister of alfo how Christ (wherein he supposed some Weakness, tho there was none) who r; for he is now Living, and an Honourable Person in the Church of God, whom at the pol he calls a Pastor of a Congregation, page 49. And because we with hold from Infants what Christ would not have given them, he tells the World we make no better of Infants than Dogs, page 11. That we have Crafty Positions, uncouth Gloses, that we mince and limit the Fundamental Doctrange a had a Author He tella in rine of Mans Salvation, page 48. Doth he not acquire the name of an Exquisite Calumniator, when he tells the World, Some of them are Arminians, some Socinians. Some gone back to Judaism, others of them Gormandizers? page 51. Now Courteous Reader, consider what dreadful work should He tell be for the form of the we make in the Church of God, if we should Preach and Publish in Print the Errors of some of the Pedo-Baptists, both in Principle and Practice; but while we are Mourning under the Evil of others. I hope we shall not fall into the same our selves : He endeavours to make those Hearts fad God would not have made fad, by Milreprefenting our Opinion to the World, as if we held no Baptism, no Salvation; and that we account all Babylon, and none of the New Ferufalem but them of our n and Gosp Opinion, and very streight in our Love and Affection : Is not this the Man David speaks of, That Travelleth with Iniquity, Conceived Mischief, and brought forth Falshood? Remember, remember John Child, whose chief piedgmen must be co cause of Desparation, was his speaking and writing against those very People of God you now do.

NOW I come to your Challenge in your Book, page 12. I Challenge all the Anabaptists in the World to produce but one plain Text of Scripture either in the Old or New Testament, from Gen. 17. to the very last of the Revelations, to prove that ever the Children of Believing Parents were cast out of Covenant by

any Authority from God, and then I will Submit unto them.

MY fear is, this person is so prepossest, that all a Man can say, tho never to much to the purpose, it will not be regarded; however, because he hath made so fair a promise, we will try if we can make him lubmit; but, pray Sir, do not Answer this Book and these Arguments as my fife YOUR -Book, for I expect a full Answer if you Write,

YOUR demand is, That we prove Infants In-covenanting, and the priviledges Children once had with their Parents, repealed.

THEREFORE that the Covenant of Peculiarity made to Abraham, and his Natural Seed, as such, is Abolished, I prove from these tour or five Argu-

m

Of

car

fa

for Re

Th

Fel Gh

afa

Yo

Voi

par

lie

han

the

ma

toa

Pea Profile

ma

西方の

36

ments following, laid down in so many Enthymems.

Argum. 1. THE Natural Branches are broken off, Ergo, Childrens visible Incovenanting is Repealed; the Antecedent of this Enthymem is cleared from the Apostles Affertion, Rom. 11. 19, 20, 21 The Branches are broken off, By the Natural Branches, without Controverly is to be understood the Natural Seed of Abraham, and the breaking off must either be meant from a Visible Church Membership, and External Priviledges thereunto belonging, or the everlasting Covenant of Grace; it cannot be the latter, because that Covenant is Immutable, therefore it must be the former : God shewed Zachariah the Prophet, Chap 11. 10, 14 upon a Prospect of the Jews Unbelief in the Messiah, and their putting him to Death, that he would take his staff of Beauty, and his staff of Bands and break it, to signify he would break the Covenant he had made with Israel and Judah, who until this time were accounted the only Visible People of God in the World, tho now the Partition Wall is broken down, and all the Gentile Nations in the World are with the Believing Jews, all one in Christ. Hear worthy Mr. Cotton on the Covenant, page 15. John Baptist's Miniftry, faith he, did burn like an Oven against all the Scribes and Pharie ses, and lest them neither the Root of Abraham's Covenant, nor the Branches of their own good Works, Matt. 3. 9. In the Pretace to Dr. Omen's True Nature of Gospel Church, he saith, God took that numerous Progeny which came from Abraham's Loyns to himself in one Visible Body and Visible Church Membership. The whole Nation being the same Politick Ecclesiastical Body, faith Mr. Tombes, which Church state was only Carnal, as their Ordinances were; but, saith the Preface, when God had divorced that People, Abolished their Mosaical Conflitution by breaking their Staff of Beauty and Bands; then he erects his Gospel Church, calls in Disciples by his Ministry, forms them into a Body, and turnisheth them with Officers; so that this Covenant is taken up by the Roots, and the Natural Branches broken off, none excepted, but the Natural Branches which remain so by Faith in the Messiah, and so they broken off, may be Grafted in again; but until then, they remain broken off, ergo, the Visible Incovenanting of Infants is Repealed, for they could not be broken off the Everlasting Covenant of Grace, therefore it must be the Covenant of Pecularity made with Abraham.

Arg. 2. NONE are Christ's Disciples but such as take up his Cross and follow him, ergo, Infants are not Disciples and so no Visible Members of a Gospel Church, I he Antecedent is fully proved from our Saviours Assertion, Luke 14. Children, and Brethren and Sisters, yea, and his own Life also, he cannot be my

Disciple, and whosever beareth not his Cross, and commeth after me, he cannot be my Disciple, twice repeated, as if our Saviour had foreseen how men would boldly affert Infants Disciples who were wholly uncapable of it. Let this put you to everlassing Silence from mentioning Matt. 28.18. your great Bulwark you always run unto; now you may plainly fee our Saviour did not mean Children, when he faid, go Disciple all Nations; if he had intended Infants to have been Disciples also, he would have made that exception; but our Lord knew they were in no wife capable of being taught, taking up Christ's Cross and following him, without which it's impossible faith our blessed Lord, you should be my Disciples. Thus you see that Children are excluded the Commission because they cannot be Disciples, being wholly uncapable to take up the Cross, and so consequently not Visibly in Covenant, nor Church Members; therefore that Covenant of Peculiarity with Abraham and his Natural Seed is Repealed.

raham,

ir of five s

hildrens

ynen ka

he Branch

be under

icher be m

iledge; I

; it can

it mult

10, 14 1

putting !

Bands and

and Juday

of God I

d all the

lone in C

Baptiff's bes and l

nant, no

Pretace

that nun

in one

Nation

which Ch

Molaica

en he crap is them is

none ester

Fants is Ro

enant of Gr

th Abrabia

Arg. 3. The Promise of Remission of Sin, and the gift of the Holy Ghost unto the Children of Believers, is upon the terms of Repentance and Obedience, Ergo, the Promise is not to the Fleshly and Natural Seed of Believers as such. The Antecedent is proved from Gods word, Acts 2. 38. 39. where the Jews upon Conviction by St. Peter's Sermon, of their being the Murtherers of the Messiah, cries out unto the Apostle, Men and Brethren, what shall me do? Peter Answers them, Repent and be Baptized in the name of Fesus Christ for the Remission of Sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost; for this Promise is to you and your Children also yea, to the very Gentiles afar off, if they are called. Repent, Believe and Obey the Messiah, for tho you called for the Guilt of Christs Blood to be upon your Heads, and your Childrens, yet for your Comfort it shall not be upon either. If both have these Qualifications before mentioned, therefore the consequent roundly follows that the Promise is not made to the Natural Seed of Believers as such, therefore the Covenant of peculiarity made with Abraham and his Seed is Repealed. But if you will not yet comply with this plain sense of the Words, but that the Promise of God in this Text is to the Infant Seed of Believers as such; consider your task; if God hath made such a Promise as Sins Remission, and the Gift of the Holy Ghost to all the Natural Seed of Believers as such, then you are to make this appear that the Believers Infant Seed as such, are indeed Partakers of these Promises which God hath here made, or else you Restect unfaithfulness on God for non-performance of his Covenant, so that unavoidably, all the Infant Seed of Believers, as such, must be Saved, since God hath made the promise of Sins Remission, and the Gift of the Holy Ghost unto them, unless you suppose some may Eternally Perish which have these Gists; but if you will add, and say, we never believed they should be the better for the Promise, without an Actual taking Hold of the Co-

venant; then shew us what Promise and Priviledg the Child of a Be-

liever

liever hath, more than the Child of an Unbeliever, or Gentile afar off, if they do also actually take hold by Faith of God's Covenant. Thus you see that the Promise is not to the Fleshly Seed of Believers as such, but upon the terms of Faith and Obedience; therefore the Covenant of Peculiarity made with Abraham, and his Natural Seed, is Repealed.

N'

'Blc

in bet San

Str

ber

of I

Coy

Feer

Wic

the

ing

Wel

УОЦ

pate

Pto

DOL

the

Phe

the

AN

La Tiber

四年記

tol

Arg 4. Abraham's Seed under the Gospel Dispensation are only Actual true Believers, Ergo, the Covenant of Peculiarity made with Abraham and his In-

fant Seed, as Juch, is Repealed.

The Antecedent is clear, from Gal. 3. 29. If ye are Christs, then are ye Abraham's Seed; you boaft, saith Christ, Abraham is your Father, but you are the Children of the Devil, for ye seek to kill me, so did not Abraham; boast not of your Birth Priviledges, it signifieth nothing except you are born again, for the Children of the Flesh, tho the Children of Abraham, are not the Children of God, but the Children of the Promise, viz. The Children of Faith are counted for the feed, Rom. 9. 8. The consequent follows naturally, That the old way of Infants Visible Incovenanting is Repealed, unless you think Infants do believe; so that whatsoever was comprehended in that Promise made to Abraham and his Seed, Gen. 17. 7. can no ways affect the Seed of Believing Gentiles, as such for my Seed, as a Gentile cannot be the Natural Seed of Abraham, a Jew; nor the Spiritual Seed of Abraham, because such are reckoned according to the Spirit and Faith; So that that Text, Gen 17. 7. hath been very improperly applied all along to the Seed of Believing Gentiles, as fuch, being neither the Natural nor Spiritual Seed of Abraham: And to advance a little further, know this that no particular Believer can infer they are a Holy Root to their Posterity; because Abraham is called the Father of the Faithful, for Abraham is accounted a Spiritual Father, but we are accounted Natural; So that the Covenant of Peculiarity made with Abraham and his Natural Seed, as such, must be Abolished, because we are not accounted Abraham's Seed now, but as we believe in the Messiah, and for a Gentile Believers Natural Seed, as such, cannot be the Seed of Abraham, neither in a natural nor Spiritural Sence, therefore cannot in any wise affect them: Here you have brought your felf again into a Noose, and I cannot help it. Now seeing the Seed of Abraham are Actual Believers, therefore the Covenant of Peculiarity made with Abraham, and his Natural Seed is Repealed.

Arg. 5. Infants are not capable of the Qualifications of Gospel Church Member-

THE Antecedent is clear from their being wholly uncapable of being Taught and made Di ciples, by taking up Christ's Cross and following him; also of Self Examination. In the Covenant of Peculiar ity made with Abraham; the Infant Church Members were wholly Passive in suffering the fign of the Covenant under the Legal Occonomy. But Members of a Gospel Church are Active; the Corinthians gave themselves first to God, and then to the Apostles, by the Will of God, in a Church State;

(15)

le afaron

Thus full hard of full hard of full

y Actuality and biolity

tural Seed

e fuch are

xt, Gen 17

Believing dof About ticular beaticular beating

ovenant of

must be A.

but as we

t, as fuch,

ral Sence,

ght your

ie Seed of

eculiarity

h Member

ale of be-

d follow

ity made

re in fut-

ut Mem-

emselves Church State 3

State: in a Gospel Church they are Innitiated after Conversion, or at Least a Profession of ic: It's said of such. They that gladly received the Word were Baptifed, and they eat their Meat with gladness and singleness of Heart, and went to their own Company, lift up their Voice in Prayer, and were Obedient to the Faith; but Infant Church Membership no ways agree to a Gospel Church State, who are said to be Sanctified in Christ Jesus; Saints by calling Holy Brethren, Living Stones, Christ's Spiritual Temple, and House of God. Church Members, now are to be Active; they are not to go, as Austin absurdly saich of Infants going to Baptism with others Feet, believe with others Faith, Covenant with others Tongues. I am fure Christ went upon his own then ari , but your Feet to Baptism near forty Mile, and the Eunuch also, and believed with his own Faith, and Covenanted with his own Tongue. Now for 5 boast in ain, forth the Conclusion, I say, Infants are not now Visibly in covenant, it not being within my Sphere what they are as to Gods Decrees, yet conclude ited for the well of all that Die in their Infant State. But feeing there are so many e old way Qualifications required individually to Gospel Church Membership, Infants do your task is to prove, that Infants have them, or give up the Cause, ace made to cording to your Promife, and confess the Covenant of Peculiarity made of Believ;

unto Abraham, and his Seed, is Repealed. Whereas you mention Jeremiah and John Baptist Sanctified from the Womb; on Feremiah, our Aunotators say, I have Appointed and Approved thee as a fit Minister for the Work, I have Prepared and Ordained thee for Publick Service, six not with Saving Grace, tho that need not to be excluded; and of John the Baptist, they say, being filled with the Holy Ghost from his Mothers Momb; this is true both as to Prophecy, which is an extraordinary gift of the Holy Ghoft, and also of the Holy Ghost considered as a Sanctifying Spirit; Now consider, our Annotators lay the great stress of those two Men Sanctified from the Womb, upon their being fitted for publick Work, for as much as they had insuperable Difficulties, which in those degenerate and corrupt Times they must unavoidably Encounter with; but what if it should be granted those two Men were not only Sanctified from the Womb? hat is, fet a-part to publick Work, which the word San tified sometimes imports; but that also they were Savingly Sanctified in their Hearts: Is this a good Argument to prove, that all the Infant Seed of believers have Habitual Faith from their Mothers Womb? If so, how comes it to pass that so many of them Die unsanctified? Logicians will tell you, its unsafe Arguing from particuliar Instances to general; is this a good Argument? Solomon was a very Wife man, ergo, all men are very Sampson was a Strong Man, therefore all Men have Sampson's Strength. Abraham was a great believer, therefore, all Men have great Faith. Moses was a Meek Man, therefore, all Men are Meek, and all Men Patient, because Job was such; I hope we shall never have these in-Stances.

shalness urged any more of John Baptist, and Jeremiah, for to prove that all

the Infant Seed of believers have Habitual Faith.

SEEING some have queried, where you find that Children are forbidden to be Baptised, tho you know it is rather your work to prove the Politive, That there is a Command for the Baptising of Infants, than for me to prove the Negative, That there is none; for if you would but prove the former, you would save me the Labour from proving the latter; however I shall attempt it for once.

that

Con

peo

com

be of violation

God

Arica de la descripción de la

TO prove the Baptism of Infants Forbidden.

ALL positive Commands Prohibit whatever is repugnant thereunto; but the Baptism of Infants is repugnant to the positive command of Christ for that Ordinance, ergo, the paptism of Infants is prohibited.

The Major is undeniable, the Minor I thus prove : If Ignorance be opposed to understanding, the want of an act of Repentance, Faith and Obedience be opposed to the Act, and Teaching be opposed to them not capable of it. If a few drops of Water be opposed to a burial in Water : In a word, if a wrong Subject and a wrong manner of Administration be opposite to a right Subject and manner of Administration, then Infants Baptism is repugnant to Christ's Command for that Ordinance, and therefore roundly Prohibited; for no man of Sense can imagin that our Lord can allow of any Practice repugnant to his own Commission; the word all Nations, in Matt. 28. is referable only to the Teaching of all Nations; all positive Commands, prohibit whatever is repugnant thereunto. We might Illustrate when we are commanded to Worship God in Spirit and Truth, it forbids all Ignorant Devotion, Formallity and Hypocrify, and when commanded to Worship God, all Idols and Idolaty is forbidden; to eat bread and drink Wine in remembrance of Christ, forbids a belief of his Corporal Presence; what need I do any act to put me in remembrance of him who is Corporally Present, when God's Word afferts we are saved by Grace, it forbids Works as Meritorious; so when God Commands Persons that Profess Faith and Repentance to to be baptifed, it Prohibits all that are uncapable of those Qualifications. Take heed of incurring Divine Displeasure, by commanding that in the Name of the Lord, which he never commanded : Oh! what a dreadful Judgment did God threaten against Jerusalem for doing those things which God Commanded them not, In offering their Children to Molech in the Valley of Ben Hinnom, which I commanded them not; faith God, neither came it into my mind, Jer. 19.5. The Geneva Note on that place, faith, Whatsoever is not Commanded by God, touching his Service, isagainst God's word, because not commanded: Was not Nadab and Abibu prohibited false Fire when commanded to take Fire from the Altar? Is not Tertullian's Notion true, every positive Command of Christ includes a Negative? by this Argument you may fet up a great part of the false Worship in Rome, by Interrogating where it is torbidden, read

(17)

Matt. 28.18. Als 2. 37. Mark 16. 16. Rom. 6, 4. Alts 8.36, 37. Alts 10 All which Scriptures thew, that Infant Baptism must be Repugnant unto that Baptism which is from Heaven, therefore is Prohibited.

Syllogistical Arguments against Pedo, and for Believers Baptism. Arg. 1. THAT which hath no Divine Command nor Example, none Commended for its Observation, nor Reprehended for its neglect, cannot be of God, or Divine Authority; bur the Baptifing of Infants hath no Divine Command nor Respie, none Commended for its Observation, nor reproved having left, ergo, the Baptiling of Infants is not of God nor reproved thority.

Arg. 2. THAT which hath a Divine Command and Example, fome commended for its Observation and others reproved for its neglect, mult be of God and Divine Authority; but the Baptism of Believers hath a Divine Command and Example, some commended for its Observation, others Reprehended for its neglect ergo, the Baptism of Believers is of

urial in Wa God and Divine Authority, Wark 16, 15, 16. Luke 7. 29, 30.

Arg.3 THAT which was the Practice of the pure Primitive and Apostolick Times, about Initiating persons into the Church, ought to be the same unto the Second Coming of Christ: But to Initiate persons into the Church by Baptism, upon a profession of Faith, was the Practice of the pure Primitive and Apostolick times; ergo, to Initiate persons into the Church by Baptism upon a profession of Faith, ought to be the same unto the Second Coming of Christ. This Argument doth mallity and ols and Ido Itand Immovable against the Churches for Pedo-Baptism; unless they can affign the time and place where Christ altered the Constitution of his Churches, and changed the manner of Initiation into them, or elfe to their Peril be it, who Constitute Churches any other way, or Initiate refent, when Members contrary to the Apostolick Practice.

Arg. 4. THAT which is contrary to the Dostrine and Practice of

John, Christ and his Apostles, is of Human Invention. by com

BUT the Baptism of Infants is contrary to the Doctrine and Practice of John, Christ and his Apostles, ergo, the Baptism of Infants is of Hu-

man Invention.

rove that a

dren are for

ork to pro Baptifing

Labour for

ant thereum

command 

ce, Faithan

d to them no

istration, the

t Ordinance

imagin tha

Commission

aching of a

repugnal

Vorship God

embrance of

ed I do any

able of thol

Ferufalem 101 offering their

leva Note of s not Nath

as not N ire from the

nd of Chril

nd of part of

FOR the Minor; That the Baptism of Infants is contrary to the Doctrine and Practice of John, Christ and his Apostles, the whole New Testament Witnesseth: That the Subjects of this Ordinance were Understanding Believers, not Ignorant Infants, and Dipping, not Sprinkling, the manner of Administration; and therefore the consequence of the Major is true, That Infant Baptism is of Human Invention.

Arg. 5. That Practice which hath no Promise Annexed unto it, either of Sins Remission, Divine Presence, the Gift of the Holy Ghost, nor Sal-

vation, cannot be of God, or Divine Appointment.

E

BUT

BUT Pedo-Baptism hath no Promise annexed unto it, either of Sins Remission, Divine Presence, the Gist of the Holy Ghost, nor Salvation, ergo, Pedo Baptism cannot be of God, or Divine Appointment.

Arg. 6 That Practice in the Church, which hath the Promise of sins Remission, Divine Presence, the Gift of the Holy Gholt, and Salvation.

annexed unto it, is of God, and Divine Authority.

BIT the Baptism of Believers, upon Profession of Faith, hath the Promise of Sins Remission, Divine Presence, the Gift of the Holy Ghost, and Salvation annexed unto it, Man. 28, 18, 18, 238. Mark 16.

16. Ergo, The Baptism of Believers, upon Profession Sinh, is of God and Divine Authority.

Arg 1. THAT manner of Administration of Baptism which no way answers the Commission nor Intention of Christ the Law maker,

cannot be Authentick.

BUT the Administration of Baptism by Sprinkling, Pouring or Dropping, doth no way answer the Commission, nor Intention of Christ the Law-maker, ergo, the Administration of Baptism, by Sprinkling,

Pouring or Dropping, is not Authentick

The Minor is clear, That the Administration of Baptism, by Sprink-ling, Dropping or Pouring, doth no way answer Christ the Law maker's Commission, because he Commands the Subject to be Dipt, Immersed, Buried; in pursuance of which Command, the Apostles did always so Practice. Secondly, It can no way answer the intention of Christ the Law-giver, because his Intention was, That it should be a lively representation of the Death, Burial and Resurrection of Christ, together with the Believers Death to Sin, and a Resurrection to a new Life; all which appears, Rom. 6. 1, 2, 3, 4.5, 6. Colos. 2 12. Now what Similitude or likeness is between Sprinkling, and Christ's Death, Burial and Resurrection, I leave to all the Judicious to consider; would Christ take such care to have his Death set forth in Lively Figures at the Lord's Table, in breaking Bread, and pouring out Wine? And would Christ appoint a Dead Figure in Baptism? No, no.

Arg. 8, THAT Baptism which hath manifest Absurdities cannot be

of God;

But the Baptism of Infants hath manifold Absurdities, ergo, the Bap-

rism of Infants cannot be of God.

The Minor is thus proved; it's full of Abfurdities, because afferted by Iome, That persons have Regeneration in their Baptism before Vocation, and may be visible Church Members before Conversion; moreover that persons may be baptised by anothers Faith, and making a National Gospel-Church, instead of a Congregational, and bringing in a Carnal Fleshly Seed into Christ's Church, in the room of a Spiritual Seed.

That Faith and Repentance is required of persons before baptised, yet consess that Children, unto whom they apply it, have neither.

Again

Ma

DUC

Me

can

erg

Ag

the

dici

Chi

Poll

ons

mati

deal

of believed

3 the down of the I

(19)

Again, They profess that Baptism is a Demonstration of a Spiritual Marriage between God and the Believer, and yet Assign it unto One as uncapable of fuch a thing as a mock or Stone: Moreover, that the Baptismal Covenant enters into the Visible Church, yet deny Church Members the Lord's Supper.

Arg. 9. That Baptism that Introduceth Gross Errors into the Church,

cannot be Divine, but Human.

But the Baptism of Infants incode th gross Errors into the Church,

ergo, the Baptism of Jarants cannot be Divine, but Humane.

The arms thus appears of its introducing Errors, It was first used to The way Original Sin, which nothing but Christ's Blood could do. Again; It's nied to work Grace and Regeneration, and Effect Salvation by the Work done; and against all sence some say, It is an Apostolical Tradition, and that Children have Faith, are Disciples of Christ, that all Children of believers are in the Covenant of Grace, defiling and polluting the Church with false matter, and confounding the Church and the World together, introducing many Traditions and Inventions of Anti-christ with it, as Gossips or Sureties, Bishoping or Confirmation, Chrism, Exorcism, Consignation; finally, it hath made a great deal of Contention in the World, and filled many with prejudice.

of hearing the Word, and Teaching, of Repenting, Confessing of Sin, believing in Christ, and doing it as Christ's Commission, a part of Gospel Righteousness, as Gods Councel, and as the Answer of a good Conscience, and ought to do it as a Symbol of Christ's Death and Resurrection, together with our own Death unto Sin, and Resurrectito a New Life, ergo, Infants are not the proper Subjects of Baptism.

Mr. Perkins saith, The Greek word έσεράτημα, Interrogation, 1 Pet. 3.21. of a good Conscience, signifieth a Stipulation or Promise which the person Baptised makes in Baptism; and further saith, He hath a double Interrogation, one from the Minister, which he Conscientiously Answers, to perform the things Covenanted; and the other Interrogation is of his Conscience unto God, whether he will accept him, if he Dedicate himself as his Faithful Servant, tho a poor miserable finner? But how can these things affect Little Infants? How doth it appear they have the Answer of a good Conscience in Baptism?

To Conclude, Courteous Reader, I pray grant me one request, and that is, That you would be pleased to take my book of Baptism, and consider my Antagonist's Answer unto it: I also desire you would feriously compare my Answer with his Book, and I hope you will find norhing material left unanswered, tho he hath not spoken to the Matter contain d in three pages, of one Hundred Thirty and Nine of

in either of s oft, nor Salvato he Promikor t, and Salvath

Faith, hath, ift of the Ho 2. 38. Mark 2. irh. is of 0 otifin which

he Law mak ng, Pouring rention of Ch by Sprinkli tism, by Spri

it the Law m to be Dipt, the intention hould be in n of Chris rection to and 12. Now W Ps Death, Chr rs would Later res at the Chr would Chr

dities cannot

, ergo, the Ba ause afferted before Vocal on a Nation ing a Nation of ing a Nation

tore papiled e neither Again

my Book of Baptism; pray consider, if he doth give any Answer to my Answer, or whether he saith any thing to the Arguments or Argumentative part of my Books, and be not put off with Shadows instead of Substance, nor with a Shell instead of a Kernel; for you have Reasonable Souls, do not meresore suffer your selves to be imposed upon to take Brass for Gold, Non-sence for Reason, nor mens inventions for Gods Institutions; but like the Noble Bereaus, search the Scriptures to see whether those things be so, or no; and believe nothing because I, or any other Man saith it, but was the Divine Writ affirms it. In so doing you shall prosit your self, and obnoting who wishesh you Everlasting Prosperity.

#### Advertisement.

FINIS.

CHrist alone Exalted: being the compleat Works of Tobias Criss, D.D. containing XLII. Sermons on several Select Fexts of Scriptures. Which were formerly Printed in Three small Volumes, by that late Eminent and Faithful Dispenser of God's Word: Who was sometime Minister at Brinkworth in Wiltshire; and afterward many of the Sermons were Preached in and about London. To which is added Ten Sermons, whereof Eight were never before Printed faithfully transcribed from his own Notes: Which is all that ever will be Printed of the said Doctor's.

The Texts of Scripture of the late Addition are as follow, viz. Serm. I. John xx. 19 Blessed are they that have not seen; and yet have believed Serm. II. Rom. viij, 32. He that spared not his own Son, but delivered him.

up for us all, how shall be not with him also freely give us all things?

Serm. III. IV, V, VI. (the Matter being undivided) Titus ij. 11, 12. For the Grace of God that bringeth falvation, bath appeared to all men; teaching us, that denying ungodliness and worldly lusts, me should live soberly, righteously, and godly in this present world.

Serm. VII. Amos iv. 12. Because I will do this unto thee, prepare thy self to

meet thy God, O Israel.

Serm. VIII. Matt. xvi. 24. If any man will come after me, let him deny himself.
Serm. 1X. Gal. iij. 5. Wherefore then serveth the Law? it was added because of transgression, till the seed should come, to whom the promise was made.

Serm. X. Mr. Brunset's Funeral Sermon, on Gal. i. 8.

Mellistium Chirurgia: or the Marrow of Chirurgery. An Anatomical Treatise. Institutions of Physick, with Hippocrates's Aphorisms largely Commented upon. The Marrow of Physick, shewing the Causes, Signs and Cures of most Diseases incident to humane Bodies. Choice experienced Receipts for the Cure of several Distempers. The Fourth Edition, enlarged with many Additions, and purged from many faults that escaped in the former Impressions. Illustrated in its several parts with twelve Brass Cuts. By James Cooke of Warmick, Practitioner in Physick and Chirurgery.

Both Printed for William Marshall, at the Bible in Nemgate-Street.

