Section I the north of the Section

A he listly Spirit, E comin'd.

A Collection of many Errors, beld fince our Saviour Jesus Christ was on the Earth.

I Come now to give a brief Hint of fome of those Perfons and their Errors and Herefies, fince our Saviour Chrift was upon the Earth, with the End and Death of many of them.

and Death of many of them. 1ft. Simon, called Simon Magus, was the first we have any Record of, that opposed the Orthodox Faith amongst Christians, whom we Read of, Asts 8. Which had before time used Sorcery, and Bemitched the People of Samaria, giving out that himself was fome Great One, to whom the People gave heed from the Leaft to the Greatest, Saying, This Man is the great Power of God; of whom it is faid, verse 13. Simon bimfelf believed, and when he was Baptized convinued with Philip and wondred, beholding the Miracles and Signs which were done ; and afterwards would have purchased the Gift of God with Money, verse 20. of whom Eufebius writeth, that he diffembled unto Baptifm, Book 2. Chap. 1. he Itrived afterwards to withftand Peter ; but Truth and the Divine word thining from above, prevailed to, that Simon fled and came to Rome, who through his Inchantments of Satan was counted for a God amongst the People there, having his Picture fet up between two Bridges upon the River Tibris, having this Roman Superfcription, Simoni Deo Sancto: To Simon the Holy God. This Eusebius out of Justinus afferts of him, Book 2. Chap. 13. and out of Irenus, of one Selen a Harlot he had to his Mate: So Notorious was this Simon, that Eufebins faith of his Actions and Follow-

ers.

ers, if might not be committed to Writing, neither with Modefty be uttered of Chaft Lips, being the Father and First Author of all Herefies : He Taught the World was made by Angels, and not by God : He flighted the Law of Mofes, as being not from God, and Blafphemoully denied the Holy Ghoft to be a Substance, but a bare Verene or Openation herein, agreed with those in the late Age, and now revived Errors, that believe the Holy "Ghoft to be but the Power and Inspiration of God, fee the Four Letters to a Friend : Alfo this Simon de+ died the Trinity, or three Perfons to be one God, lee Raffes View of all Religions, page 184! and Dr. Owens Preface to this Book in Antwer to Biddle, page 3. fo alfo agreed with the Social and that believe Christ to be a Man, a Messenger, Minister, Serviant, and Greatime of God, fee the Book of 4 Letters to a Friend, page 4: 10 out the grad

2dly. The Second fort I find that Erred amonght Christians, were certain *Pharifees*, after they believed in Christ, Taught Circumcifion, and the Observation of the Ceremonial Law, as meceffary to Salvation, to whom the Apostle *would not give* place by subjection, no not for an Howr, see Acts 15. 1. 5. 24. Gal. 2. 4, 5.

3dly. Himeneus and Philetus, who faid, That the Refurrection was already past, and thereby had overthrew the Faith of Some, 2 Tim. 2. 17, 18. Alfo there was one Alexander mentioned with Himeneus, I Tim. 1. 20. caufes me to think all three were Guilty, of whom it's faid, Their words will Eat as a Canker or Gangrene, which if not looked after in time, groweth incurable, 2 Tim. 2.17. those mentioned, I Tim. I. 20. are faid to be delivered to Satang that they may learn not to Blaspheme. Indeed. fuch is the Nature of Heretical Doctrine, if it be not ftopped in the beginning, -- it will run over the whole Body of the Church, and corrupt the fame, as the Apostele faith, Gal. 5.9. Aluttle Lear ven Leaveneth the whole Lump. Thus we fee how carly Error crope into the Church, and the care the

92

the Apostle took to purge out the fame, contrary to the zealous pretence of many now, who under the Notions of Love and Unity, Indulge the Errors that have been of Old, concerning the Foundation of Faith, Grace, and Works; looking for Jaftification, partly from their own works : But cannot Chriftian Duties be Exercifed without the Truths being Violated ? As for that of Charity or Love. we are commanded to extend it unto our very Enemies, but Unity cannot be in fpiritual Matters, without a Uniting in Spirit, and of the Spirit of Grace : Now that Holy Spirit of Grace cannot Unite two things of a contrary Nature, as Corruptions, Errors, Herefies ; for these things are Ranked amongst the things of the Flesh, Gal. 5. 20.amongst which are Idolatry and Herefie, thefe being contrary unto the Fruit of the Spirit, can never Unite together; and fome of those Errors we contend against bring in Idolatry, in allowing Divine Worthip to be given untola Creature, which is only due to the Greator, and those deny Christ to be true God, and true Man, and the Spirits Allfufficiency, go beyond those denied the Refurrection, in Error and Heretical Doctrines; for they deny that Way and Means, by which it was Effected, i.e. as Chrift was God and Man, he was capable to lay down his own Life, and to take it up again, John 10. 18.

4thly. But to return, the 4th Herefie I find is that of Phygellus and Hermogenes, who forfook Paul, 2 Tim. I. 15. and as Meredeth Hanmer in his Chronographie joyned to Eufebius, page 564. faith they made Shipwrack of their Faith, and as he takes it out of Abdius Babilonicus, faith alfo that Jetus was not the Son of God, and Dorotheus, faith Phigelus, was Bifhop of Ephefus, and followed after Simony, page 538. of Eufebius, and both thefe two were of the Seventy Difciples of our Lord, and brought in falfe Doctrine, according to the faid Author, denying the Divinity of Chrift.

Sthly.

Sthly. Cerinthus, with one Ebion, whom Dr. Omen, makes out to be the 2d Head of Error and Herefies, denying the Deiry of Chrift, and in his Preface to his Answer to Biddle, page 4 faith, Both denying exprelly the Deity of Chrift, afferting him to be but a meer Man, even in the entrance of the Gospel, being confounded by John, as is af-firmed by Epiphanius's Herefie, 51. This Cerinthus Lived in the time of John the Apostle, and spread his Herefie in Domitan's time, about 62 Years after Chrift, fee Roß. page 189. and Eusebins, page 565. It's also Storied that the Apostle John going to a Bath where Cerinthus was, would not go in or tarty with the other, faying, Let us depart from hence, left the Bath fall, fo went away Un-bathed : So Zealous were the Apoftles and their Difciples, that they would not Communicate in word with the corrupters of Truth, faith Polycarpus, as Quoted by Eusebins, lib. 4. chap. 14. and Cronogre page 567. and thefe two, i. e. Corinthus, and Ebion, Lived both in the time of St. John, against whose Errors, at the Intreaty of the Christians of Afia, he wrote his Gofpel, beginning of it with the proof of Chrift's Divinity.

6thly. The Nicolaitans and Gnofficks would not have God to be the Creator of this Inferior World, but the Angels, That in faithful Men were two Souls, one Holy of the Divine Subftance, the other Common to Man and Beaft. They held alfo two Gods, the one Good, and the other Evil; and held Jefus Chrift to be two diffinit Perfons, that Chrift defcended into Jefus, when he was Thirty Years Old, and were of a Bealtly Life, not fit to be Nameed, fee Rofs. view of Religions, page 188. and Eufebius, and the Cronog. page 567.

7thly. Carpocrates, who lived in the time of Saturinus, and Bafalides, both which are Recorded as Hereticks, one of which Budded out of one Meniander, the follower of Simon Magus. This Carpocrates Lived in the Year of Chrift 104. Taught that there were two opposite God's, That the Law and Good

94

Good Works were needless to those that had Faith, --- and Taught that Christ was a meer Man, begot of *Joseph* and Mary, that only his Soul Afcended into Heaven, --- denyed the Refurrection, and that the Body should be faved, Lived Joofe, had a Punk, or Mate, called Marcellina, Sec. see Eusephins, lib. 4. chap. 6. and Roß page 189.

rift's

bird

Lincul

Sthly. The Valentinians, fo called from one Valentinus an Egyptian, who Lived about a 100 Y cars after Chrift, Taught that Chrift's Body was meerly Spiritual, and came down from Heaven, paffing through the Virgin as through a Conduit or Pipe, made God the Author of Sin, and denyed the Refurrection of the Body, holding that only the Soul was Redeemed, fee Enfebins, page 570. and 568. and Rofs. page 191. thefe Errors are there Named with abundance more.

othly. The Marcites, Calarbafeans, and Heracleonites were fuch as are Recorded for Hereticks. Marcus Lived about a 115 Years after Chrift, his Schollars called themfelves perfect, -- they denyed Chrift's Humanity, and the Refurrection of the Flefh, and inftead of the Christian Trinity, they held a Quartanity, they Baptized not in the Name of Father, Son and Holy Ghoft, but in the Name of the Father Unknown, of the Trub the Mother of all; and of Him that Defcended upon Jefus, and ufed the Magical Arts: Colarbafus held but one Perfon in the Deity, called by different Names, and Divided Jefus from Chrift, as the Neftorians did afterwards, Heracleonites, whole Father Heracleon, Lived about 100 Years after Chrift; they held two Beginnings, that it was no Sin to deny Chrift in danger of Life, fo the Heart believed; and Eulebins faith, these denied the Refurrection, and that Chrift fuffered not indeed; and that Marcus the Head Heretick run away with another Man's Wife, fee Euse. Crono. page 571.

tothly. The Ophites, Cainites, and Sothites, the first of which Worthiped the Serpent, and Taught that the Serpent that deceived Adam and Eve was Christ,

Chrift,-denied the Refirrection and Chrift's Incarnation: The Second fort were called Canites; becaufe they Worthiped Cain as the Author of much goodneis to Mankind, and Efan, Core, Dathan, Abiram, and Judas, who betrayed Chrift, faying he foreknew what happines thould come to Mankind by Chrift's Death; therefore betrayed him: As to the Third fort, they Worthiped Seath Adam's Son, ----Lived moftly in Egypt, denied the Refurrection, made Chrift who was Born of the Virgin to be Seth, called fo in the beginning of the World, and Jefus in the latter Days; and that the Angels knew Women Carnally, with many other whimfies, fee Rof. and Emfebius.

11/2 200

112.2

Hor

the

Tith. Paffing by fome, I come to Gredon, and Mercion : The first of these, as Rofs tells us, Lived but 110 Years after Chrift; yet the latter, who profeffed and maintained Credon's Doctrine at Rome 133 Years after Christ, refining fome Points, and adding fome of his own Fanfics. Credon Taught there were two contrary God's, the one a God of Mercy and Pity, the other of Juffice and Severity; alfo denied the Refurrection of the Flefh, and the Humanity of Chrift, affirming that he was not Born of a Virgin, nor Suffered but in thew : Mercion was Credon's Schollar, whole Opinions he preferred to the Orthodox out of fpleen, becaufe his Father, Bishop Mercion Excommunicated him for Whoredom : He alfo held or Taught two contrary God's, and denied Chrift's Incarnation of the Virgin; therefore blotted his Genealogy out of the Gofpel, affirming his Body to be from Heaven, and denied the Refurrection : He Lived in the time of Justinus Martyr, as Eusebius faith, who wrote a Book against him, he met Polycarpus, and faid to him, Knoweft thou us? Polycarpus replyed, I know thee for the first begotten of Satan, see Epiphanus, Enfebius and others.

12th. Apellus, Senerus and Tatianus, The first florished about 150 Years after Christ, amongst other things, Taught that there was but one Chief

God.

96

God, and another Fiery God, who appeared to Mofes in the Bufh, and made the World, gave the Law, and was Ifraels God ; alfo faid Chrift's Body was Compact of the Elementary Substance, appeared in the Shape of a Man, which was left behind when he Ascended ; every part thereof returning to their former principles : Severus Lived about 156 Years after Chrift, who was Contemporary with the aforefaid Apellus, used the Company of a Strumpet ; yet hated Women, Taught the abstaining from Wine, denied the Refurrection, the Old Testament and Prophets, faid the World was made by Certain Power of Angels, Tatianus is faid to be a bad Scholar of a good Master Justin Martyr; and Lived about 142 Years after Chrift, his Difciples held that Adam was never reftored unto Mercy after his Fall, Condemned Eating Flefh, the use of Wine, and denied that Christ was of the Seed of David, fee Rofs and Eufebius.

13th. Montanus, whereof the Montanifts are fo called, he Taught in Phrygia, his followers being afhamed of his wicked Life and unhappy End, they were afterwards, from the Country where he was Born, and his Herefie fpread, called Cataphrygians: He Blafphemoufly held himfelf not only Infpired; but the very fpirit of God, they Confound the Perfons of the Trinity, affirming the Father Suffered: Authors fay, he with two Women that followed him Hang'd themfelves: This Herefie began 145 Years after Chrift, and lafted above 500 Years, fee the above cited Authors.

14th. Alogiani and Ateman denied Chrift to be the Word, and confequently denied him his Divinity, as Ebion and Corinthus had done before, they nejected St. John's Gofpel, and the Revelations, rejected St. John's Gofpel, and the Revelations, as not written by him; His follower was one Berillus, a Bifhop in Arabia, who taught that Chrift was a meer Man, and then became the Word of God. Ateman took Chrift but for a bare and Naked Man, and was as Roß faith, a Prophane Perked Man, and was as Roß faith, a Prophane Per-

97

Ion, and Lived about the the Time of Severus the Emperor, 160 after Chrift.

15th. Theodorus, and the Milchifedireans, Bardefanift and Neototians : The first of these Lived 170 Years after Christ, a Turner by Profession, and Taught that in the times of Perfecution we may deny Chrift; and in fo doing we deny not God, becaufe he faidChrift was meerly Man. Melchifederians were fo called, for believing that Melchifedec was not a Man, but a Divine Power, Superior to Chrift, and Chrift they held to be but a meer Man, Barfedanif renewed the whimfey of Aones, by which he overthrew Chrift's Divinity, and denied the Refurrection of the Flesh : The Neotians, fo called from Neotus, Born in Smyrna, Taught that there was but one Perfon in the Trinity, which was both Mortal and Immortal, in Heaven God Im-Partable, on Earth Man Partable ; alfo faid that the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft Saffered in the Fleth : he Lived 140 Years after Chrift, and Buried with the Burial of an Afs, and his City Smyrna overthrown Eight Years after.

16th. Sabellius, Beryllus, Samosatenus : The first of these was Sabellins the Disciple of Neotes, and an African by Birth, his Herefie began to be known about 224 Years after Christ, and held there was but one Perfon in the Trinity, whence it followed that the Father Suffered : Berylas was Bithop of Bestra in Arabia, and denied Christ to be the Second Perfon in the Trinity before he was made Man; Origen Confuted him, --- Samofatenians, fo called from one Paulus Samofatenus, who faid that Chrift was a meer Man, and had no Being till his Incarnation, and held that the God-head dwelt not in Chrift, any otherwife than in the Prophets of Old, by Grace and Efficacy; and that he was only the External, not the Internal Word of God, and did not Baptize in his Name ; for which Caufe the Council of Nice rejected their Baptifin as Invalid, and this Man was Intollerably Proud and Ambitious, having a great Guard before and behind H

98

behind his Perfon, fee Enfebins Hiftory Chap. 29. page 139. This Herefie under the Name of Samofatenus, broke out about 232 Years after Chrift, and hath continued in the Eaft parts ever fince, as Rofs faith page 202.

17th. Arins who was Born in Lybia, yet a Prieft of Alexandria hearing the Bishop Alexander Treating Curioufly about the Holy Trinity, thought he had maintained the Opinion of Sabellins, fet himfelf against the Bishop and faid, That the Son of God had a beginning of Effence, and that there was a Time when he was Not ; and that God was not always a Father, That the Son was not from Everlafting : --- Being called before the Emperor, he fubmitted unto the Nicene Councils Creed, and Swore too : His deceit was to carry a Paper in his Bofome of his Heretical Opinion, and when he came to the Book, he would Swear, That he thought as he had written, meaning as it was writ in the Paper in his Bofom ; his End was Lamentable : For going from the Emperor in Great Pomp after this Oath he had taken, through the Streets of Constantinople, he was taken with a Fear and Laske, went to a Jakes Houfe and there Voided his Guts : This Herelie broke out 290 Years after Chrift, and overrun a great part of the Christian World, fee Eufebias Crono. page 580. and Roß View, page 205.

The Eunomians, Macedonians, and Apol-18th. linarians, The first of these Lived about 331 under the Emperor Constantius : Held that the Son was no way like the Father, neither in Effence, Power, or Will ; yet the Holy Ghoft was Created by the Son, and he Baptized in the Name of the Father Uncreated, the Son Created, and the Holy Ghoft Created by the Son : The Macedonians held that the Holy Ghoft was a Creature, and the Servant of God, but not God, --- but a Power Created by This Herefie was maintained 312 Years afhim. ter Chrift, The Apollinarifts, fo called from Apollimaris, a Presbyter in Laodicea, divided Chrift's Ha-

manity, faying, he Affumed Man's Body, but not the Reafonable Soul of Man; becaufe they faid it was fupplied by his Divinity: This was 350 Years after Chrift.

toth. The Anthropomorphites, Neftorians, and Encychians, The first of these were Monks Inhabiting the Defarts of Egypt, which faid Monks held that God the Father had a Body, and was like Man : These Lived in the time of Chryfoftome, An. Dom. 402. The Neftorians, fo called from Neftorius a German, was made a Bishop of Constantinople, and for his Cruelty was called a Fire-brand, he held that in Chrift were two diffinct Perfons, to wit, the Son of God, and the Son of Mary, That the Son of God Defcended into the Son of Mary at Chrift's Baptifin, and dwelt there as a Lodger in a House, and made the Humanity of Chrift equal with his Divinity, this was about 400 Years after Chrift, fee Roß page 215. The Eurychians, fo Named from Emyches Abbot of Constantinople, who Lived about the time that the Britains fent for the Saxons to affilt them against the Scots : Theodoftus the Younger being Emperor about Anno. Dom. 446. He maintained that Chrift confilted of two Natures before the Union, but afterwards only ones to wit, the Divinity which fwallowed up the Humanity, and that his Body was not of our Sabfance, viz. That the Word did not take from the Virgin Human Nature; fo confounding the Properties, and that it was the Divine Nature of Chrift Suffered and Died, according to their Doctrine, fee Roß and Eufebins.

20th. Pelagians were fo called from one P.lagins, a Britain by Birth, and a Monk at Rome: Thele Taught that Death was not the Wages of Sin; but that Adam fhould have Died, tho' he had not Sinned, That his Sin was only hurtful to himfelf, and not to his Posterity; denying Original Guilt and Corruption; That Children take it not of their Parents, That Man after the Fall had free Will

10

100 Of the setting up of Mahometanism,

to do Good, and that by our good Works we obtain Grace, &c. fee Roß page 214.

But it being almost endless to Cite all the Au-But it being almost endless to Cite all the Authors of Herelie, I have briefly over-run them skipping fome; and Note further, that Roß faith this Eurychian Principle aforeCited, over-run manyCountries, for one facobus a Syrian, holding the fame Opinion with Eurychus, drew all Syria into their Herefie, nion with Eurychus, drew all Syria into their Herefie, 575 Years after Chrift, till at length Sprung up 575 Years after Chrift, till at length Sprung up Makometani/m, 589 Years after Chrift, Ariani/m, having Infected most of the Eastern Churches, together with other Errors that had fpread abroad, at last it came to this Head of Mahometani/m, as Rofs writes page 162. thus.

Mahomet the Arabian, being affifted by Sergius a Neftorian Monk with fome other Hereticks and Jews, about 600 Years after Christ: He was Choien General of the Sarazen and Arabian Forces, and then became their Prophet, to whom he Exhibited his Impious Doctrine and Laws, which he pretended was delivered to him by the Angel Gabriel, Ge.again faith, pager 76. Arianism had Infected most of the Eastern Churches ; therefore it was no wonder if they received Mahomets Doctrine, which was grounded upon Arias his Herefie, again page 179. faith, This Sect of Mahomet anism is fo made up of Christianism, Judaisin, Gentilifin, Ge. that it abates the Edge of any of these Nations from any eager defire of it's Ex-' tirpation, O'c. and hath lafted above above 1000 · Years, page 177.

Thus it coming to pafs, that either in this Head of Makometanilm, or the Apofailing Church of Rome and Papal Power, which began also as I gather, about 600 Years after Christ, were the fum of thete Errors Comprised, for thus Dr. Owen writes page 5. 'That Herelie being as it were the Flood of Waters that purfued the Church for fome Ages, fpeaking of Macedonias, Neftorius, and the Emtyches, The First denying the Deity of the Holy Ghost, the Second the Hypothatical Union of the two Natures

and the Papal Apostacy of Rome.

IOI

tures of Chrift, and the Laft confounding them in his Perfon, and page 6. speaking of the Pride of. Paulus, Somofatenus, and his Blasphemous Errors in denying the Son to be any thing more than a Man, faith, In fome fpace of time these Men being decried by the general confent by the refidue of Mankind, profeffing the Name of Jefus Chrift,----Satan perceiving himfelf at a lofs, --- he puts on it by the help of Arins and his followers, another Glofs and Appearance, with a pretence of allowing Chrift aDeity, though a fubordinateCreature made Divine Nature, which in the fulnefs of time affumed Flesh of the Virgin C. (Note here M.C. may well deny the Name of an Arian, feeing he difallows of the word Affinning Fleth of the Virgin, which Arins did not) but Dr. Omen further faith, page 7. ' It is Incredible with what ' fpeedy Progress, like the breaking out of a migh-· ty Flood, it overfpread the Face of the Earth, it is true indeed, it had in it's very Entrance all the advantages of Craft, Fraud, and Subtility; and in its carrying on, of Violence, Force, and Cruelty, and from its beginning to its end, of Ignorance, Blindnefs, Superfition and Prophanenels, Sec. faith further, God purposing to revenge the Pride, Ingratitude, Ignorance, Prophanenels, and Idolatry of the World, which was then in a great mea-' fure got in amongst the Professor of Christianity, by another more Spiritual, Cruel, Subtle and la-' fling Myftery of Iniquity, caufed this abomination of Arianiim, to give place to the Power of the then growing Roman Antichriftian State, about the 6th or 7th Century of Years, fince the Incar-' nation of the Son of God, O'c.

So that thele two, i. e. Mab metanilm, and Antichriftian Rome, having as it were fivallowed up these Errors in their own Bowels for a time, which have fipread themfelves over the Christian World a long Seafon, except the Church of Christian World wilderness, which also could fearce shear clear of all; So that from the 600 Years of Christ for diversA-

H3

ges

102. Of the Reformed Churches, and the old

ges fince, we had little Noife of those Errors that defiled the Primitive Churches in their Virgin State, who were as Beautiful as Tizrah, Comely as Jerusalem, and Terrible as an Army with Banners before. I fay there was little Noife of those Errors, thefe two Heads having fmallomed all down till of late ; BUT Satan's policy was not wanting fill, to contrive a Way and means to fubtilly overthrow all Christs Offices, and the whole Benefit of Chrift's Mediation in the Apostatized Church of Rome, fetting up the feveral Orders & Offices of Popes, Monks, and Priefs, with their Sacrifices of the Alter, Offering up of the Holt, Interceffion or praying to Saints and the Virgin Mary, to Interceed for them, Meritorious Works, prayers for the Dead Saints, and Pardons from the Pope, which are all directly against the Offices of Chrift and his fatisfaction only, under other fpeciess pretences carried on by them; but when we come to the Year of Chrift 1517. or thereabouts, when the Reformation was begun by Calvin and Luther and others, and Light a little began to break forth, Satan feems to fet the Old Errors on foot again, and the Errors that had been fmallowed down concerning the Perfon of Chrift, now fare Vomited up amongst those that did Reform, afresh to Poyson them in denying the Perfon of Chrift, fome of them Living firft at Helveria and Geneva, at last Nefted in Poland : The Leaders of those that opposed Christ's Divinity, molt of them had first separated from the Papacy, amongst which were Gregorius, Blandrata, Petrus, Statorius, Franciscus Lismanus, Franciscus Laelius Socinuus, Faustius Socinus of Sene, the Latter of which was Born in Decem. 153. a Noble Italian, a Man of great Skill and Parts, as Dr. Owere writes of him, page 22. of his Preface to Biddle. This Socians getting his Unkle Laelins Socians's Books, became a flrong Oppofer of Chritt's Divinity, writing many Books, amongit which that Book De Jela Christo Servatore, which is to fay, of Jelms Chrift our Saviour, Printed 1594. written with

Herefies Revived among them. 103

with all the Strength, Subtilty, Plaufibility Imaginable, and about which he was like to loofe his Life, fee Dr. Owen, and whether it was that or another Book I cannot yet justly determin; but one was against the Satisfaction of Christ, and from that Book did Valentinus Smalcius, take the whole of what he has delivered about the Death of Chrift in his Racovian Catechifm, as faith Dr. Owen. This is that Socinus that maintain'd Chrift, to be but a Man, and denied Chrift's Satisfaction for us to God the Father, from whence those that follow his Doctrine are called Socinians, and fometimes they are called Antitrinitarians. Thefe Errors fpreading fo that they came into England, following, as it were, the Reformation from Popery with this Poylon: So that we have an Account in Dr. Owen, of one Puccius, with whom Socinus Difputed at Bazil, came to Oxford before that, and afterwards also returned from Bazil to England again, and was caft into Prifon for a Scafon ; but being Releafed returned to Holland, and from thence by Letters Challenged Socinus to Difpute, and went a Thousand Miles into Poland to make it good: He held among t other things, That Man had power to believe without the Efficacy of the Grace of God, and wrote a Book in Poland, which he Stiled, The flow Bible and of Elias, wherein he denied all Ordinances, until Elias thould come and Reftore all things.

But at laft up ftarts John Biddle in England, and writ a Catechifm, compiling much of it out of the Racovian Catechism, wherein they, with Sociant, not only deny the Deity of Christ; but also denied Chrift's Satisfaction for Sin, and Fultification by his Righteoufnefs, but that we are Justified by our own. A Collection of which Errors Dr. Owen. Compacts together in page 680, in the latter end of his Book in Anfwer to Biddle, which in fubftance are thefe following.

1st. That God is a Sphit that hath (as they fay) a Bodily Ibape, Eyes, Ears, Hands and Fees, like to 145.

104 An Account of John Biddle's Herefies, &c.

w, fee Biddles Catech. page 9. 2d. Catechifm page 4. 2d. That God is in a Certain place in Heaven upon a Throne, where a Man may see from his Right

Hand to his Left. and to this there he Conjectures what Men will do here below, not knowing what we will do, fee Bidddles

First Part page 14.

4th. That God hath Paffion, Sometimes he is Afraid, Sometimes Grieved, Sometimes Joyful, Sometimes Angry, Sometimes Repents, &cc.

5th. That Chrift is not true God, the' Dignified with the Title of God, and became the Lord after he was Born of the Virgin, and now is made a Spirit in Heaven.

That the Holy Ghoft is a Principal Angel. 6th.

That Death did not enter by Sin, nor was 7th. Mortality actualy caused by Sin.

That Christ is a Saviour only, because at the 8th. Refurrection he shall Change our Vile Bodies.

oth. That we shall be Javed from Sin and Wrath by keeping the Commandments, and fo have Right to Eternal Life.

That Chrift was not the Eternal Son of God. 10th. fo Revealing the Mind and Will of God, but was taken up into Heaven, and there Taught the Truths of God. as Mahomet the Impostor pretended he was.

11th. That Chrift gave a new Law, and Corrected the Law of Moles as a Prophet.

12th. That Christ is to be Worshipped, not because be is God, but because be Redeemed us, and that one that is a meer Creature may be Worshipped with Divine Worlbip.

13th. That Chrift cannot now in Heaven bear the Prayers, and understand the defires and Hearts of Saints here on Earth.

14th. That Chrift did not as a Prieft give up himfelf an Offering to God for us in h's Death, nor made Reconciliation for our Sins, but Died that we might turn our felves unto God, and leave us an Example. to

Anno

An Account of Biddle and others, &c. 105

15th. That Christs Righteousness is not imputed unto us for Justification.

16th. That the wicked after the Refurrection shall be Confumed, burnt up Body and Soul, and not Remain in Torment. See Biddle's Catechifin page 135who wrote his Book, or at least was Printed Anno 1634. and was answer'd by Dr. Owen, An. 1655.

This Biddle is accounted by those have viewed his works, a Rank Socinian and Anthropomorphite Sowing his Errors here in England, and beyond-Sea, in the United Provinces, his Catechifin being carryed over there : For he stilles himself Master of Arts of the University of Oxford, and lived in England, and did not argue only against the Deity of Chrift, and the Holy Ghoft; but allo was corrupt about the very Being of God the Father, and Satisfaction of Chrift our Lord made for poor Sinners, fee Dr. Owens Epiftle Dedicatory ; and tho' I had not the Racovian Catechifm by me, yet those that please may take a View of Dr. Owens works, in Anfwer to both the Racovian and Bidlian Catechifin: The Latter Catechifm, I having by me, which I find to have the exact Blafphemics and Corruptions in it, that the faid Dr. Relates, and I have Collected a few Heads of ; alfo it hapned that a Friend of mine lent mea Book while I was writing this, of one John Crellius, who Stiles himielf Minister of the Racovian Church, which faid Crellins Dr. Owen mentions in his Book in Anwer to B.page 49. for there is no Date to this Book of Crellins, but Lived before Biddles time, I fuppole Dr. Omen's knowing of fuch an one; and indeed this Man, to wit, Crellins, endeavours with all the Skill and Subtilty Imaginable, to prove that only the Father of our Lord Jefus Chrift is the most High God, refting fundry Texts of Scripture from the trueSence, by Parephrafing on them and Exposition, contrary to the general Senfe and Harmony of them all, and this Book is in English; whence I observe, that it having pleafed

106 An Account of Biddle and others, &c.

ed the Almighty to caufe the glorious Light of his precious Goipel to Shine forth wonderfully here in England, who were before darkned with the Miltery of Iniquity of the Romish Church for a long time : But now Light appears, Satan that Grand Enemy of Mankind, was early at work, to raife up Infruments to fow Tares amongst the precious Seed and Wheat of God's Word, and to corrupt the Minds of Men with fo great a Vail of Ignorance, as to deny those weighty Points of Salvation, and the Foundation it felf, the Lord that Bought them, witnefs Biddle, Crellius and others before and fince, amongft which was those called Familifs, Ranters, Quakers and Nationalifts, who all undervalued our Lord Jefus Chrift, in respect of either of his Natures, Perfon or Offices, one fort fuppoling him to be manifelted in their Fleih, i. e. within them in a Light, instead of his partaking of our Nature, and Fleih of the Virgin Mary, and Afcending into Heaven in that Nature: For they either deny Chrift to be a real Man without them, Blasphemoully fancying him to be only God manifelt in their Fleih; or elfe make his Human Nature with the ful-God, and be manifest in the Saints; and to according to their wicked Imaginations his Human Nature was to bellaid afide, after he had offered it up upon the Crois without the Gate at Jerusalem, contrary to Afts 1. 1, 2, 3, 9. But against these, that worthy Servant of Christ, John Bunnyan of Bedford wrote a Book Intituled, Some Gospel Truths openel, according to the Scriptures, --- manifefting that Chrift our Lord confilted of two Natures, a Divine and Human, Oc. Printed in the Year 1656. about 46 Years ago. These People called Quakers, or at least some of them, still deny Christ to be God, Co-Creator with the Father. And,

2dly. That he had not a Created Human Soul, like the Error of Apolinarius, who faid Chrift was without a Humane Soul.

3dly .

An Account of the Quakers, and M. C. C. C. 107

3dly. That they deny Chrift to have in Heaven any Bodily Exiftence without us, for one George Whitehead faith, 'Doft thou look for Chrift 'as the Son of Mary, to appear outwardly in a Bodily Exiftence to fave thee? -- if thou doft, thou 'mayft look until thy Eyes drop out, before thou wilt fee fuch an appearance of him, indeed they believe that Chrift hath not an outward Body, Glorified at God's Right Hand.

Athly. That they fayChrift's Body that he Suffered in, was not of our Nature, but a more purer one,

becaufe ours is defiled and filthy. 5thly. Yet fay that the Blood of Chrift fhed on the Crofs when the Soldiers Pierced him, was no more then the Blood of another Saint.

6thly. They deny Justification and Sanctification by the Blood of Chrift outwardly fhed, faying, That ' if it is our duty to forgive without a · Satisfaction received, and that God is to forgive " us as we forgive them, then is a Satisfaction 10-' tally excluded. These are William Pen's words, as Quoted by G. Keith, and Solomon Eecles's Letter, Inferted by the faid G. K. doth evidently manifest they deny the Imputive Righteoufnels of Chrift to Saints, as by what he hath wrought for us without us, fee Mr. Keiths Narrative from page 14. unto the 27. in which they revive the Errors of Arians, Speinians and Apolinarians, yea, and also agree with the Errors of one M. C. who is of the Mind with them that Chrift did not take Fleih and Blood of our Nature; becaufe that was Defiled with Original Guilt.

Which faid M. C. I now come to write of next, who with one W. f, received and fucked in.

If. That Error i. e. That Chrift took nothing of his Body, Blood, and Bones of the Virgin Mary, but the Original of it was the Word of God, which he then believed to be God, the Second Perion in the H. Trinity, even the Errnal word which Changed into Flefh, and so Died : This was the first Error of M.C. tho

108 Of M. Cafin's Herefie.

tho' be was before a owner of, and Baptized into the Orthodox Faith ; yet in the Year 1653. as Dr. Wright thinks, did publickly, in a great Affembly held at London, openly Declare and Difpute the aforefaid Error, That Chrift took nothing of his Body, Blood and Bones of the Virgin Mary; but that the Eternal word Changed into Flefh and fo died, this was it our Beloved Brother Wright opposed him in and about; accusing the faid M.C. of Blasphemy, this was it he, *i. e. M. C.* received (as he then de-clared at that meeting) of a Man coming to him in a Leathern Doublet, as he was flanding on a Green in Horsham Fair, which put him to a non plus for the prefent, the Account of it is in Mr. 7. Wright's Book page 7.

And this Doctrine was it he was afterwards confirmed in, for which he and one W.F. and others were fo confident about, that a Circle was drew by them round about; in the middle they all flood, and faid, That that Circle fhould be witness against them, if they departed from that true Chrift, which they now were Confirmed in.

In this first falfe Opinion W. J. lived and died in, after all this and much more, to Confirm his Erroneous Doctrine, M. C. at a Meeting at Alisbury, where he found he was charged hard with Blafphemy, in faying, That the Eternal Word could Change into Fleth Blood and Bones and really Die : He fubtilly then defired, that they would not run hard upon him, faying he was now in a doubt, and did not know what Crhift's Original was of, alfo confeffed it to be Blafphemy, to fay the Eternal Word could Change and Die, as before ; yet notwithstanding he had often been fo confident about affirming it, that he faid, If he were deceived, God had decerved him, yea, fo certain was he about it, that as if an Angel from Heaven had declared it to him, when alas ! it's queltionable whether it was not fome Evil Spirit in Human Shape appear'd to deceive him, or elle the Craft of fome Intrigueing Fe-Smite, or Popish Frier, or one of the Arian Stamp ient

Of M. Cafin's Herefie.

fent by the Whore of Babylon, that Sea-Monster, who had long before fwallowed down all Herefies and Errors, now feems to Spue them out, as a Flood, amongst the Reformed, if possible, to Drown the Church with the Deluge of Error and Schiffm, as before she had cast out a Deluge of Perfecution.

But how horrid is it for to confider, that for all \mathcal{M} . C. aforefaid confidence about knowing the true Chrift, now fhould near 20 Years after, fay he knows not what Chrift's Original is of; but is in a doubt about it, and fays it's Blafphemy to fay as he before had affirmed, but this did not ferve his turn, fo to remain in a Doubt, but of late Years hath effoufed another kind of Opinion about God and Chrift, and that he fill abides in, to mit.

Ift. That God the Father distinct from the Word and Holy Ghost is the most high God, and is confined to a place, not Omnipresent, but in Form and Shape.

2d. That Chrift confidered, as he was the Word of God, John I. I. Albeit that he was God, yet he is not of the uncreated *Jubfance* of the Father, but God made him a Creature only, i. e. That Chrift our Lord is a God by Deputation from the Father as Magistrates and Judges are.

3d. And that this Created Son, diffinet and feparate from the Father, was made Flesh in the Virgins Womb, not by taking Flesh of her, but that the Matter, viz. the Word was Turned into Flesh in Mary's Womb : So was not properly at all of the Seed of Abraham and David, as God's Word and Oath declares, fee Rom. 1.2. Heb. 2.16. Alts 2. 30. compared with Pfalm 132. 11. in which Seed God hath promifed to blefs all Nations, Gen. 22. 18. Gal. 3. 8. which Seed faith the Apolle is Chrift, verfe 16. he taking the Seed of the Woman, and to of Abraham and David on him, and this is it that God Threatned the Serpent, floudd bruife his Head, meaning the Seed of the Woman, Gen. 3. 15. which carried a promise in it to all that lay hold of the fame by Faith, all which M.C.

201

ibt of

IIO

M. C. deny's, in denying Chrift to partake of the Flethly Seed of the Virgin, and by plain and undeniable confequence, he allo holds threeGods, in denying the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit to be one God, the Father, soll, and Holy Spirit to be God, or denying the Son and Holy Spirit to be God by Nature, of one Effence with the Father, these Errors are undoubtedly Charged on him, fee Mr. 7. Wrights Book, and those several Printed Papers handed by feveral Elders, Meffengers, and Brethren of the Orthodox Society, Meeting at London in the Years 1693. 1696. 1699. and that Printed by 2 fingle Perion 1700. by one who well knew the faid M. C's Opinion in time past, having been a confiderable time himfelf led away by his Errors, but fince reduced out of them again, also witness that Difpute at Smarden, between fome Londoners of one fide, and M. C. and D. Allen on the other fide, which was Anno 1699. many being prefent, and do remember D. A. Disputed against Christs being Effentially God, and M. C. against Christ's Numan Nature, denying he took of the Substance of Mary, a Body of Fleth and Blood, fince which he hath not made it appear to any that he is of another mind, nor repents of what he hath held : His Errors have fpread into many Churches, in Kent, Suffex, and London; but especially in West Kent, for in East Kent, many as I am told have held Socinianifm ; yet where M.C.hath come, he hath privately prevailed with fome to leave that and Embrace his; which as one well observes, is nothing but a Fardle of Mahometanism, Arianism, Socinianifm and Quakerifm : For Mahomet never denyed Chrift to be a Man, but fpeaks Honourably of him, calling him, the Word and Spirit of God, the Servant of God, the Saviour of those that trust in him, the Son of a Virgin, begot without the help of Man, fee Rofs page 105. neither did Arius deny Chrift's Humanity, but his Eternity, nor did Socinius deny both his Humanity and Divinity, but Chrifts Divinity only, firmly owning that Chrift took, or was made of the Seed of David. All Qua-

kers

Of the Perfecutions and Deceitfulness of, &c. 111

kers do not deny that he had once a Body he took of the Virgin, the' they fay it was fwallowed up in Death, and Iaid afide, tho' fome deny him to be Co-creator with the Father; but M.C. I think exceeds all before him ; none ever yet denied both Natures, that I find in any Hiftory, but he hath, as it were Comprised a little of feveral Errors into one Labyrinth.

Now Confider all thefe I have Cited, amongst which Cafinites , and Socinianism, and Quakers, are the Head evil Error, being most dangerous, who can any longer plead for Communion with those that Arive to Promote and Maintain thefe Corrup, Evil Notions, that deftroy the Foundation of the Chriftian Faith, denying the true Chrift and Lord of Life in his two Natures, Threefold Offices, and Satisfaction for us, and who ever pleads for Communion with these that either deny or offirm, contrary to this Faith, of Chrift's being come in the Fleih, even our Flesh, and is God by Nature, upon the Arguments of Love, Peace or Charity, Condemns himfelf if he feparate from either Church, Papal or Episcopal, Quaker, or other whatever that own the Name of Chrift.

Three or Four things I have more, (tho' my Pen is tired) I would lay down.

If. As to the End and lamentable Death of fome Oppoling the Orthodox Faith.

2d. To Note the Perfecutions.

3d, Deceits they have used to carry on their Erroneous Opinions by.

4th. To answer a few Objections.

First. Then to fay but little more of the Lamentable end of some of those Head-Brochers of Herefies, the Judgments of God many times falling upon them, as it did on Simon Magus, Arius, and Proud Palus Somafatenus, Arius denying Chrift to be Coeternal, and the other the real Divinity, faying, that he was a meer Man : These with Nefforins and others, all Died miferably, as Roß and Enfebins Hiftory

112. The borrid Persecutions of Arius and others,

flory relates, and fince the Reformation 1562. in Poland and other Countries, fad Judgments did overtake fome, as one Gregory Blandrate, an Italian, Phyfitian to the King of Poland, in Principle a Header of the Unitarians, was found with his Neckbroke in his Bed, by what Hand none could

tell. 2d. One Lismaninus another Antitrinitarian Drowned himfelf in a Well, being before convicted of double Dealing.

3d. One Franciscus David, who opposed the Invocation and Adoration of Jesus Christ, believing him to be but a Man, Died miserably in Despair.

4th. One Adam Nuserus, who was an Arian, then Turned Mahometan, fell into Miferable Horror and Despair, and so Died.

Secondly, But to pais thefe, as many of their Ends were lamentable fo were their Perfecutions toward the Orthodox, both Miferable and Horrible, which amonght Christians was began by Arins, and one Eusebius of Nicodemiah, against Athanafius, accufing him with all the Notorious Crimes could poffibly be Imagined, fometimes Incenfing the Emperor against him, fometimes got him Banifhed, and fometimes by falle Acculations would have took away his Life, had not the Providence of God brought their wickedness to Light, as in the cafe of their Accufation about his cutting off Arfeninfes Hand, and using it to work Magick and Sorcery, which afterward appear'd to be a Forged thing out of Malice against him : Athanafins being called before the Council, Thewed Arfenius with both his Hands on to their great fhame, whom they thought to be far diffance ; yet after this by falfe Acculations raifed against Athanafins he was forced to fly for his Life, and all from those pretended Chriftians, who denied Chrift to be God equal with his Father, fee Socrates Book 2. page 245, 246, 256.

3dly.

against Athanafius and the Orthodox. 113

3dly. Several others were Banished, as Paulus Bilhop of Constantinople, and another Arian placed in his Room, by the means of which the Arians caufed an horrible flaughter, above 3000 perfons were flain about placing one Macedonius in his Room, who was a wicked Arian, after which the faid Macedonius to prevailed with the Emperor, that he procured the Overthrow and Deftruction of the Church, in Bannthment, Perfecuting, and horribly Torturing of those that cleave to that clause of one Substance in the Nicene Creed, (to wit, That Chrift was of one Substance with the Father) The Violence(faithSocrates) was no lefs than that of Old towards the Christians in the Ten Persecutions, see Secrates page 271. For many endured Sundry kinds of Torments, often Racking and Difmembring of their Joints, Confiscating of their Substance, some dying under the Hands of their Tormentors, this was their practice throughout the Cities of the East, espeally at Constantinople.

4thly. Further Socrates relates the account of Athanafus, who had felt the finart of it himfelf, in his Apology wrote in defence of his flight, declaring the behaviour of the Arians in this fort at Alexandria, they fought them out to Execution, the Soldiers befetting the Church unawares to them in their Worthip, Athanafias narrowly efcaped with his Life, after which they put the Virgins into Prifon, the Bishops were Bound and led by Bands of Soldiers, --- the Christians were violently lugged out of their Houses, --- after this the People being gathered together, to Fast and Pray to God in a Yard, one Gregorius the Arian, that was placed in Athanafins's Room, fent a Captain with a great Troop of Soldiers, all in Armor, with naked Swords in their Hands, who run upon the People as they were Praying on the Sunday, cauling a great Fire to be made, and hemade the Virgins to Rand nigh the burning Flames, in order to caufe them to confeis the Arian Faith, but when they would not yield be Stripped them, -- he Buffered them, --- Torturing 40 Perlon9

114 The horrid Persecutions of the Arians,

Perfons, by Scurging them with Palm Twigs, whereof fome Died, the reft with the Virgins were

Exiled. 5thly. Again Socrates, page 283. relates the Crueity of Macedonius against those that owned the Claufe of One Substance, cruelly Tormenting them who refused Communion with them in the Arian Faith, forcing their Mouths open, and the Sacrament in against their Wills, -- and forcing every one into their Communion; if they refused, then Scourging, Impritonment, Torturing and using Women Inhumanly was their way; yea, such kind of Torment was invented for Women, as was never heard of before amongst Pagans.

6thly. Socrates writes Book 4. page 324, 325. in the Reign of one Valens the Emperor, about 378 Years after Chrift, the Arians began again to Perfecute the Chriftians that were for the Claufe of One Subftance, Scourging and Imprifoning them, and Eighty Godly and Religious Men, who went to Interceed with the Emperor for themfelves and their Friends for eafe. But the Emperor concealed his difpleafure until he privily had commanded them into Hold, and then on Board a Ship, as if they had been to be Banished ; but the Marimers having a Charge what to do, when they were got a good way off at Sea, Conveyed themfelves out into a Boat and Fired the Ship, fo Burned the Eighty Godly Men in her to Afhes; but by reafon that I haft to have done, I cannot inlarge, only I Note farther, how that Dr. Onen gives an Account p.3. inhis Preface of his Book in anfwer toBiddle, of the Rigidness of Socinus against those did not fall in with his Sentiments, profetting that he would not own them Christians; also that one Franciscus David, with whom he Disputed about the Invocation and Adoration of Jefus Chrift, was call into Prifon by the Infligation of him and one Blandrata, where the poor Man died miterably.

and their Deceit.

115

The third thing is the Deceit and ways to deceive by, these Men have taken.

Ist. Arius with one Ensebins, by means of an Arian Prieft, who had acquaintance and familiarity with the Emperor's Sifter, got in Favour with the Emperor ; fo that the faid Arius was to fubscribe unto the Nicene Creed, and then to be preferred, which he deceitfully did, forming a Faith and Recantation writ in a Paper, which he de-livered up unto the Emperor, and fo deceived him as well as others by this diffembling Trick, by which means Arius got fo far in Favour with the Emperor, that he returned to Alexander by the Commandment of the Emperor, to receive his former Dignities : By this Trick of Subscribing did the aforenamed Euzoins, Arius's Companion, get off from Exile into the Church, with feveral others, as Enfebins Bishop of Nicodemia, and Theogris Bishop of Nice, both Arians, all fubfcribing that which they believed not, fee Socrates page 243.

10 37 00

2dly. Arius after all this, coming to Alexandria, there making fad work, getting Aibanafius Banished, the Citizens being grieved thereat, Complaint. being made it came to the Emperor's Ear, he called Arius the Second time before him at Constantinople, to give an Account of those Tumults he had made, demanding of him at laft, whether he would Subfcribe to the Nicene Creed, he readily complied and Signed it the Second time, the Emperor marveling at this, put him to his Oath, to Swear he believed as was there written, but Arius being deceitful, falfly Swore to it ; but the Craft he ufed, was to put a Paper wrote with his own Opinion in it, which he had then put in his Bofom, under his Left Arm, and fo Swore he believed as was written, meaning as written in his Bofome, not that which he had Subfcribed unto : But now the Judgment of the great God took him before he was got out of the City, dying miferably in a Fakes House, there voiding his Bowels, fee Socrates Book 2. page 250.

1 2

1

2d.

116 The deceitfulness of Broachers of Heresie.

3dly. One Petrus Statorius and Blandrat, together with one Lefmaninus, were thre Head Leaders of the Antitrinitarians, denying the Father, Son, and Spirit to be one God; yet being Examined did Subferibe the Orthodox Faith, contrary to what they subferibe the Orthodox Faith, contrary to what they truly believed, thefe with fundry others in Poland that did the fame by this deceitful way; they privily carried on their Erroneous Opinions to the corrupting of many in those Parts.

4th. The way many now of late have taken, hath been just after this manner, Subscribing to the Church of Englands Articles, (as those that are Preachers are required to do by Act of Parliament), not believing as therein is expreft ; yet they outwardly feem to give their approbation unto it, and Subscribe their Names, in order to enjoy that Liberty the King and Parliament Grants to those do Believe and Sign the fame Articles which the Law requires, being all of them, except, those that are excepted by the Law-givers, which was never intended to the Arians or Socinians, or those that deny the Doctrine of the Trinity, and Christs Divine and Humane Nature, or believe it in any other Senfe than there is expressed in the 1, 2, 5. and 8. Articles, in which are laid down concerning, Firft, The Faith in the Holy Trinity. Secondly, Concerning the Word or Son of God. Thirdly, Of the Holy Spirit, declaring plainly.

Firft, 'That there is but one Living and True
God Everlafting, without Bodily Parts or Paffion; of Infinite Power, Wifdom, and Goodnefs,
the Maker and Preferver of all things, both Vifible and Invifible; and in this God-head there be
Three Perfons of one Subfance, Power, and Eternity, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghoft.
Second Article. 'The Son which is the Word
of the Father, begotten from Everlafting of the
Father, the very and Eternal God, of one Subfance with the Father, took Man's Nature in
the Womb of the Bleffed Virgn, of her Subfance :

So

The deceitfulness of those deny the two Nat. 117

So that two whole and perfect Natures, viz. the God-head and Man-hood were joyned together, in one Perfon never to be divided, wl ereof is one Chrift, very God, and very Man, who truly Suffered, was Crucified, Dead and Buried, to Reconcile his Father to us, and to be a Sacrifice, not only for Original Guilt, but alfo for actual Sins of Men.

Fifth Article. 'The Holy Ghoft proceeds from the Father and the Son, is of one Subfance, Majefty and Glory with the Father and the Son, Very and Eternal God.

This compar'd with the Eighth Article that obliges them that Subscribe, throughly to receive and beliève the Three Creeds which are so express concerning the Holy Trinity, and the Eternity of Christ asGod, and of the Substance of his Father, that took of our Flesh and Blood in the Womb of Blessed Mary, being perfect God, and perfect Man, of a Reasonable Soul, and Human Flesh, equal to the Father as touching his God-head, and Inferior to the Father touching his Manhood, who altho he be God and Man, yet he is not two but one Christ, fee the Creed of Athanasius.

1/2. Now that it was the Intent of the Parliament, that those that Signed these Articles and Greeds should Believe, it is plain, because they have excepted the 34, 35, 36. and part of the 20. and to those that scruple Infant Baptism part of the 27 Article, particularly mentioned in the Ast.

2.41y. This Act was not intended to extend to the Eafe and Benefit of every Perfon that shall deny in his Preaching or Writing, the Doctrine of the Blessed Trinity, as it is declared in the aforefaid Articles, it being to expressed in that Act of Liberty, page 317. made Anno Dom. 1689.

3dly. Not only fo, but in another Act made fince that Anno Dom. 1697. its thus expressed, That if any Person or Persons, having been Educated in, or 118 The deceitfulnes of those,

at any time having made profession of the Christian Religion within this Realm, Shall by Writing, Printing, Teaching, or advised speaking, deny any one of the Persons in the Holy Trinity to be God, or shall affert or maintain more Gods than one, or fhall deny the Chrifian Religion to be true, &c. -- be judged Incapable and difabled in Law to all Intents and Purpofes whatforver, to have or enjoy any Office, or Offices, Imployment, or Imployments, Ecclefiastical, Civil, or Military, or any part in them, or any Profit or Advantage appertaining to them, &c. This Act is Intituled, An Att for the more effectually Suppreffing of Blasphemy and Profanenefs ; yet for all this, forme of their Faith that I oppose have Subscribed, notwithstanding they deny Chrift to be God equal with his Father, and Man of our Nature, tho' they fay Chrift is God, i.e. by Deputation to called, which doth not help them at all; for thereby they make more Gods than one, one most High Eternal, the other by Office not Eternal, but had a beginning in time: The one fort fay a God Created before the World was made, the other of Socinus's perfuation, fay Chrift was not, till Conceived and Born of the Virgin, and before his receiving of his Ministry was taken up into Heaven, and there Dignified, as is faid of Mofes, fee Exod. 7. I. I have made thee a God to Pharoah, &cc. fo contradicting what they have Signed, which is abhorred of the Orthodox to to Act.

Object. I. As to their Objecting that the 6th Article takes takes them off from these things, because they think it's not Read in the Holy Scriptures, nor to be proved thereby; and if so not required of any Man to be believed as an Article of Faith, fee the 6th Article.

Anfw. What hath been faid and quoted already, confutes them, but the 8th Article, which faith, The three Greeds, Nicene Creed, Athanafius Creed, and that which is commonly called the Apoltles Creed, ought throughly to be received and believed; for they may be proved by most certain warrants of Holy Scripture ?

that deny Christ's two Natures.

119

ture: This they Sign, if they Sign any, and if the 6th take them off from any one that is not fpecified in the exceptions of Parliament, why not from all; fo then the Act was made for nothing, and any Perfon may Sign it with a Referve, believing this or that is not contained in Holy Scripture; and yet deny the Refurrection of the Body, as the *Quakers* do, yea, Chrift himfelf, faying he is not there declard.

Object. 2. If it be againft us faid, we do not believe all the Articles, and yet Sign as that Claufe in the Second Article, where it is express concerning Christ's Natures, never to be divided, and that Article in the Creed of Christ's Defcending into Hell, &c.

Reply. As to the first, we do certainly believe it, as the Church of England and Parliament intend by it, that when those Creeds were wrote, the two Natures of Christ were never more to be divided; but that in Death there was a separation for a time when he Suffered on the Cross, 1700 Years ago, they believe yet not so divided or separated, as finally so to continue the Unity still continued, so that by the Power of the Divine Mature, he took up again his Body mith Flesh and Bones, and all things appertaining to the perfections of Man's Nature, as is expressed in their 4th Article they believe, and so do we, with which Body he shall come again to Judge the World, tho' it be now Glorified in Heaven.

2dly. As to that expression of Christ's Descending into Hell, we believe as they, some of them understanding it to be the Grave, and under the Power of Death, some that his Soul endured the bitter Agony under the Wrach and Displeasure of God for our Sins, as bitter as the Torments of Hell for a time, and so Descended into that Valley of Humiliation and Descent of Torment; in both these respects. I do heartily believe it, and is agreeable to Scripture, Alts 2. 31. Eph. 4: 10. both which make mention of Hell, and the Lower parts of the Earth

PT I

The deceiveableness of those,

120 Earth that he Descended into ; and his Soul net left in Hell, and he it was Trod the Wine Press (of his Fathers Wrath) alone, and of the People, there was none

mith him, Ifa. 6. 3. 4thly. These Men, some of them have not only handed the Church of Englands Articles openly in Court, but alfo at other times, thereby deceiving of fome, as if indeed they were truly Orthodox, witnefs first Matthew Caffen, fetting his Hand again to that Re-printed Confession of Faith, that was Printed in March 1660. Subscribed to by Forty Perfons, Re-printed again by the Affembly 1691 which in the First Article doth fay there is but one God the Father, --in the Third, That there is one Lord Jefus Chrift, who is the only begotten Son of God, Born of the Virgin Mary, yet as truly David's Lord, and David's Root, as David's Son, and David's Off-fpring : So its expressed in the first, but in the last they have fomewhat altered the words in the Third Article concerning Christ, tho' it Looks to import the full; yet was not fairly done, leaving out fome of the Old Names, and intermingled New ones, added 27, befides fetting Brother 70. Wright's Name to the top of their Reprinted and altered one, (as it was to the first) without his Knowledge or Confent, which was a bafe Forgery full of deceit, to make the World believe M. C. and they retained the Old Faith with the faid Dr. Wright as at first, when he the faid M.C. hath changed his Opinion twice fince, and believes quite contrary to the Intent of that, fee the Advertisement of Mr. Fo. Wright in his Book called a Looking-Glass for Hereticks, this they cannot deny.

Sthly. The pretended Tryal of Mat. Coffen, in order to Unite the two Affemblies last Summer at London 1700, where he was accused for Error, being an Arian and Anthropomorphile, &c. was juft like the reft, he denying the Names of those Herefes, but certainly holds as bad or worfe than Arius did, M.C.denying both Natures of Christ, but Aring but

that deny Christ's two Nature.

121

but one. 2d. They drew up a Paper in Ambiguous words, which looked like Orthodox, which he and others of his Party could readily have Signed, would that have done, for M. C. faid he could Sign that and a great deal more ; but being queftioned by the other Party, as to their meaning and intent of those words they would not declare, keeping a Referve in their Minds, as appear'd by refuling to Sign another paper of Articles then drew up by the Orthodox, but how can Light and Darkness Unite together ? he retaining his Old Principles, as I am fatisfied he doth, till he publickly repent and acknowledge his Evil, both by Preaching and Writing, which he must do as publickly at all places where he hath come and privily incenfed any against the Orthodox Faith, before I shall believe but that he is the fame M. Cofin Still, as to his Errors.

6thly. These Mens way is to Brand us for Troublers of Israel, Breakers of Churches, mauters of Love and Charity, pretending to Infallibility, Condemning all that see not with our Eyes, as Hereticks, &c.

Reply. They will not diffinguith between things meerly Circumstantial and Indifferent, and those things Fundamental: We fay in Circumstances we could bear with them, wherein the Honour of God, and the good of Souls lies not at Stake; but in those Fundamental points certainly it doth; and if the true knowledge of Go1 and Christ, as to his Dignity, Natures, Death, and Sufferings and Reconcidention made for us poor Sinners, be not Fundamental Doctrines, I think none are feeing it is Life Eternal, to know thee the only true God and Jejus Christ, whom thos hast fent.

14. As Man and Mediator He was fent but as God, he came down from Heaven, according to that Agreement, and Covenant, a Councel of Peace was made between the Father and Son to fave lost sciences, Zech. 6, 13. not to do his own Will, fee John 17. 24.

innot

in or

ner al

Some Objections Answered.

2dly. That Doctrine we do believe is Infallibly true, held forth in God's Word ; therefore we ought to contend earneftly for it, as being that Faith once was delivered unto Saints, Jude 3. againft all perverse Disputings of Men of Corrupt Minds, and destime of the Truth herein, I Tim. 6. 5. but we pretend not to any Infallibility of our felves, but are fenfible we fail too much every day, both in knowing what we should know, and doing what we fhould do; but becaufe we fee not fo much as we would or thould of this great Myftery of God's Love in Chrift, muft we therefore put out our Eyes and not Look at all ? No, this were Madnefs and Folly to the purpole. 3dly. What ever they pretend to others of want

of Love and Charity, the fame may we believe of them, and the moft of all, for feparating from us, wherein their pretended Charity is lo large, as to embrace all Opinions; fo as there is but an outward Compliance with a few Duties : But the worft of all is, of those that complain for want of Charity and Love in us, they have not true Love for their own Souls, and the Souls of others, they lead in Errors, while they prefs Souls to continue in their Communion, as if Communion, without Union would Cure all Maladies, and all bounds of Charity were comprehended in that.

4thly. As for Renters of Churches, fome of their own Party must fit down in Silence about feeing; I can tell who of them 20 Years ago, could not bear to hear the Truth Preached, in respect of the two Natures of Chrift, not being at quiet in themfelves, nor letting others have Peace to whom they belonged, continually oppoling, till at last they parted from the Orthodox. 2d. This hath been too evidently manifelted again fo nigh me of late, that I shall forbear to Name the Perfons that did. feparate themfelves before they were denied Communion, among t us by the Church.

5th. As to that of Herefie, if we in Confcience believe the Head Leaders of those Opinions are fuch.

Some Objections Answered.

fuch as do bring in privily fuch Notions, as is a denying of the Lord that Bought them, why may we not fay they are Hereticks, fee 2 Pet. 2. 1. but I pafs to the laft thing.

Lafly, As to the Objections, it's Objeeted by them that we drive on furioufly Jebus like, not gently as Chriftians thould do.

Reply. If they were without fault hereabout, they might indeed Caft the first Stone ; but they miftake belide, for it was not because Jebu in his Executing God's Command, deltroying the Houfe of Abab, and that Woman Jezebel for her Idolatry, Whordom, and Witchcraft (which hindred Peace to Ifrael) that he was blamed for, fee 2 Kings 9. 22, 25, 36. chap. 10. 10. 17. But it was because Jehn took no heed to walk in the Law of the Lord God of Ifrael with all his Heart; for he departed not from the Sins of Jeroboam, which made Ifrael to Sin, to wit, the Golden Calves of Bethel and Dan. fee 2Kings 10, 29. 31. Therefore for his zeal in Execution of God's Command he was Commended, verse 30. but were their fault hereabout, who hath drove more furioufly than fome of their own Party ? witnefs their proceedings against Andrew Robins an Elder, and one J. Smith afore mentioned, with many others.

2. Object. They fay we have caft all the Dirt and Odiums polfible we could on them, and therein we favour not of Chriftianity.

Reply. Herein they have no reason to complain against us, confidering the Beam is in their own Eye, how have they endeavoured to cast all the Dirt, Scum, and Contempt on those have opposed *M.C*? witness Mr. J. Wright, Tho. Munk, D. R. and many others they have laid heavy complaints against, yea, and some have they against me; but that which I have here Charged them withall appears to evident none can deny, being matter of Fact, not out of any Malice or Hatred to any of their Persons, to far as I know my own Heast, but

Some Objections Answered.

but against their Principles and deceiving ways, out of love to Souls Good and God's Honour; and assto my naming of their Names, I could do no less, their Names being already fo publick, and that before in Print too.

2dly. I fhould elfe have told fome of dangerous Principled Perfons, and not have made the way plain to efcape them.

3dly. They are fuch as have Headed Error fo long, that ought to be publickly Noted, feeing all private means hath often been uled long ago for their Recovery, and none would prevail, fee 2 Thef. 3. 14. the Margent faith or fignifie that Man by an Epifle.

2. Object. Whereas fome Argue that many of those Texts we bring to prove the Trinity, as well Christ's Divinity, as that in 1 $\mathcal{J}ohn$ 5.--- are wrong Translated, $\mathcal{O}c$.

Reply. I have Read indeed that the Ari.ns did corrupt fome Greek Copies, and fo there may be fome of those remain still; but according to all the best approved Copies, ours is truly Translated, but that is the fubtility and deceit of all those Opinions, when they cannot overthrow the Truth by found Scripture, then to quarrel with the Translation, and till they bring the very Original Paper, ours we shall believe is true, for there is not fcarce a Word, nor a Letter in a Word, which the Arians and Socinians do not tos up and down, and will corrupt the true Sense if possible, when in our Native Tongue, much more have they done with the Scripture in other Tongues.

4. Object. Made by fome is, that it cannot be that Christisthe Son of God, begotten from Eternity, because in Common annual us Sons of Adam, the Father is before the Son.

ift, Reply. They Reafon out of Natural Principles, which are flich as are true in things Created, and Finite, but falfe in God, who is an Effence Infinite; therefore to compare the Infinite Mystery to the Finite and Carnal begettings of Men, is Foolifh

Some Objection Infwered.

ish and Impious, seeing, 2d. That God's Word faith to us, who shall declare his Generation, Ifa. 53. 8. and that his goings forth hath been from of Old, from Everlassing, Mic. 3: 5. But 3d. as Christis declared to be from Eternity, so equal with his Father, and the true God, by being God by Nature; then it follows, that if there was no time when the Father was not; so was there no time when the Son was not; and if the Father be an Eternal Father: So is the Son an Eternal Son, being of the fame Effence, God equal with his Father, Creator, not Created, see Prov. 8. 23. I was set up from everlasting, &c. verse 30. -- Rejoycing always before him. See also Phil. 2. 6. Heb. 1. 2, 3.

5. Object. Jefus Chrift is called the only Begotten Son of God, becaufe only he was begotten of a Virgin by the Holy Ghoft.

Reply. It is a mifconftruing and corrupt Interpretation of the Word, for it was the only Begorten Son that was fent and gave by the Father, that had a Being before all things that were Created, I John 4. 9. Colof. 1. 17. and it is the Word is called the only begotten Son of God, by whom all things were made, who is in the Bosom of the Fathe, John 1. 14. the word was made Flelb, &c. then followeth, and we beheld his Glory, the Glory, as the only Begotten of the Father, full of Grace and Truth, again, John 3. 16. God fo loved the World, that he gave his only begotten Son, of whom it is faid, Heb. 10. Lo I come, and a Body hast those prepared me, all fignifying the only Begotten Son was that Divine Per-Ion was, fent, freely came, and that HE had a Body prepared of the Virgin, being a Diffinit Nature from the Body he took. If then the Word it felf be the only Begotten Son, then is he called the only Begotten, not in respect of the manner of his Generation of the Virgin, (which was declared) but in respect of his Generation from everlasting of the Father, which none could declare, He being the Son of God only by Nature; for Angels and Men are fo by Grace, and not by Nature, and this Name

Some Objections Aufwered.

126

Name Son was difcovered to those of Old, long before he was Born of a Virgin, Typified out to Abraham, when he offered his Son Ifaac, and to Moses when he was fent to call Israel out of Egypt called the Son, Psalm 2.7.12. whom the Kings and Judges of the Earth, are bid to Kiss, the wife Man knew him by the Name of the Son, Prov. 30. 4. knew him by the Name of the Son, Prov. 30. 4. knew him by the Name of the Son, of the Virthe Son of God long before he was Born of the Virthe Son of God long before he was Born of the Virgin, Dan. 3. 25. not only he, but other Heathens and the son of God long before he was born of the Virthe Son of God long before he was Born of the Virthe Son of God long before he was Born of the Vir-

alfo, as might be proved. 6. The last Object. I shall Name is, that they fay to believe the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost is one God, is Repugnant to Natural Reason, or that One can be Three, and Three One, is not only contrary to common Sense and Experience, but also Impossible fo to be.

Reply. 1st, Tho' It be above Human Reafon to conceive justly how it can be, yet is it no more against Reafon to believe it, feeing it is Teffified in God's Word, than it is to believe the Soul, Mind, and Body are one Man.

2d. If it be Noted that this is fo much above Natural Reafon as a Creator is above a Creature, and an Infinite Being is above a Finite and Natural Being; therefore Perfons may as well conclude there is no God at all, becaufe they cannot fee him with their Natural Eyes, as to conclude the other is falie, becaufe not differend by them with Human Natural Reafon.

3d. That which is Impossible among Men, and contrary to Natural Senie therein, is possible with the Great and Infinite God in all respects, that is agreeing with his Word; but to believe there is but one Estence and three Perfons in that one Estence, to be the one most High, True and Eternal God, is not only agreeing with God's Word, but manifefled and plainly declared therein, according to these Texts, Mark 10. 27. John 10. 30. 1 John 5.7. i Cor. 3. 16. compared with Chap. 6. 19. It was possible that Sarah should have a Son, when in Nature

Some Objections Anfwered.

ture it ceased to be with her, after the manner of Women : and therefore impoffible, according to the Courfe of Nature, butpoffible with God notwithftanding; to quicken Nature, and accomplifh that he had promifed should be, Gen. 18. 10, 11. and if to in Natural things, God's Works are above Man's reach, much more is his Being and Exiftence above our Carnal Reafon's Reach to conceive how; but as we by Faith may reach to fee fome glimmering of, as he hath revealed himfelf in his word ; yet Man is not to lay by his Reafon, becaufe by that alone he cannot dive into those Divine Mysteries, but to use that as subservient in fome degrees as a Handmaid to accompany Faith ; Reafon attending Faith into the outward Court, but Faithalone enters into that within the Vail, whether Christ the forerunner iss entred and Reveals the Father to us, fee Heb. 6. 19. 20. John I. 18.

arb. It is diffeover'd that the Son and Holy Gholt are Confubitantial with the Father, not of like Substance only, (as fome will own) that is of a like Effence, and like Nature only, for that's to make Three Effences and Natures, fo Three God's: but when we fay Confubftantial with the Father. that fignifies they are of one Effence tho' three Perfons in that one Divine Effence, for there is but one Febovah, or one God and Febovah, is but one Effence, or one God, but Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft are that one Jehovah, that is, one God one Effence, the matter is proved by comparing these Texts following, Dent. 6. 4. IJa. 6. 3. 5. 8, 9. with Jeremiah * 23. 6. Ifa. 25. 9. and John 12. 40, 41. and Ezek. 37. 27. Zach. 2. 8. 10. with Acts 28. 25. 1 Cor. 6. 19. 2 Cor. 6. 16. The word in the Old Teftament LORD, where it is in Capital Letters fignifies Jehovah, which is spoken of God the Father, including the Son, and Spirit, feeing the fame things are applyed to both in the above Gited Texts, or to the whole Effence and the three Perfons, one Eternal God, to whom all Glory, Honour, Worthip, and Praife belongs for ever and ever.

127

But

The Conclusion of the whole.

128

But now I shall come to the Conclusion of the whole, and end with a fhort word of Advice.

Firft, To those that are Ignorant in part of this Great Mystery, and yet are defirous to be Informed, and willing to embrace the Truth, fo far as they shall be Inlightned by the Word.

1A. Let them be aware of those pretences of our opposites, who pretend Love, Charity, and For-bearance, for all differing in Principles hereabout, yet do Judge and Condemn us as wanters of those

2dly. Take heedyou do not give too great Crespecial Graces. dence to that fide, who feemingly make out their Matter fair, tho' they are in your affections, and you think the Perfon worthy to be truffed, until you have heard the other do not Judge.

3dly. If after all, your Capacity be but small, and hearing both fides, you cannot Fathom the aforefaid Matters ; Search the Scripture, and humbly intreat the Almighty to Direct and Inlighten you hereabout, the Scriptures are they that Teftifie of Chrift, John 5. 39. and it is he that can open your understanding to understand the Scriptures, as he did his Difciples, Luke 24. 45.

4thly. Confider the neceffity of knowing God and Chrift aright, according to the Scripture, the Bleffed effect at one hand is Life Eternal, John 17.3. and the gift of the Spirit here to Teach and guide aright unto all Truth, John 7.38, 39.

5th. The neglect of it is the loss of all Spiritual Comfort here, and Glory hereafter, be that hath the Son bath Life, and he that hath not the Son bath not Life, 1 John 5.12. the way to have the Father, is by acknowledging the Son, John 2. 23. and who loever Trangereffeth and abideth not in the Doctrine of Chrift hath not God, he that abideth in the Do-Strine of Christ, he bath both the Father and the Son, 2 John 9.

Secondly, To those that have been inlightned into those Divine Mysteries, and yet fee not their

way

Int

The Conclusion of the whole.

their way clear as to Communion ; yet joyning with those that are as opposite to Truth, as Light is to Darknefs, let them be warned to confider.

1/2. No true Union of the Spirit can be between them and fuch as oppose Truth ; because the Spirit of God is a Spirit of Truth, and cannot Err nor Unite to any falle Opinion.

2d. Confider if those of our Faith believe their Principles are true, about the Being of God, and Existence of Christ, then are they commanded by God's word to bear their Teltimony for it, and against that opposeth the Dignity of their Bleffed Lord, preferring his Honour before the Honour and Reputation of Men, not fearing the difpleafure of Men, but the difpleafure of God, who hath Commanded us to come out from amongst them, and to be Separated, --- and touch not the unclean thing, Sec. 2 Cor. 6. 17. and to have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of Darkness, but rather reprove thems Eph. 5. 11.

Thirdly, To those that have either separated, or do retain themfelves apart from those that oppose the Orthodox. Faith in point of Communion, my Advice to them and my felf is, for us not to content our felves with the bare, outward knowledge of those Divine and Precious Truths, which to know God in any respect, is of great Concern ; but the outward part will not do alone, except we can get the Experience of it in our Hearts; but let us labour to Know him, fo as to Love him above all, Eying the great Love of the Father and Son, in the powerful Work of Redemption, by the Father's Sending, and the Son's Giving huntelf for us, that he might Redem us from Wrath and all Iniquity, and that we may Experience we are fuch, as are his peculiar People, Zealous of good Works, having true Fellomfbip with the Father and Son; and by Vertue of that Life and Light from them we may have true fellow/bip one with another, and then the Blood of Jejus Chrift will cleanse us from all Sin, buit without the true Light of Life, I cannot fee how かがほ

K

129

The Conclusion of the whole.

we can have true Fellowship one with another, nor could Chrift's Blood be of that Ineffimable Nor could Efficacy to cleanfe from all Sin, and give Peace to us, if he was but a Created thing ony; and if we Contend for this, that Chrift is God, as well as Man, and have no Communion with God, nor no Senfe nor Sweetnels, and Spiritual Relifh of his Worth and Value in our hearts, what will it avail, and if we plead that Chrift made Satisfaction to Infinite Justice for Sinners; yet if we through unbelief do not take hold of it, or feek toGod that we may have the Benefit by Faith in Chrift, we shall still lie under the Wrath of God; again, if we plead for Imputive Righteoufnefs in order for Inflification before God; yet if we have not the Experience of Peace and Comfort of it, what will it avail unto us? But

2d. Let us labour to continue in the Grace of God, yea, and true knowledge of Jefus Chrift, and to take the Apostles advice, Pet. 3. 17, 18. ye therefore beloved, Jeeing ye know these things before, beware left ye also being led away with the Error of the wicked, fall from your own stedfastness, but grow in Grace and in the knowledge of our Lord and Saviour Fefus Chrift, to whom be Glory both now and ever, Amen. See here the Apoltle afcribes Glory to Chrift, tho' the defign of our greatest opposites is to, either rob Chrift of his Divine Nature, Honour and Worthip, or elfe to fet up two God's, one moft High, the other Leffer, not fo high, but Delegated under him, and yet allow Worthip to him; but let us be advised to shun those prophane and vain bablings, for they will increase more to ungodlines, for their word will eat as doth a Canker, Szc. 2 Tim. 2.16, 17. Fourthly. Laftly, from the Heavenly Relifh and

Senfe of this great Myltery and Love of God, and of the Father, and of Chrift, Col. 2. 2. let us labour to Glorifie him who is the true God, even the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, one Eternal and Bleffed God, to whom be Praife and Glory for evermore. Amen. Amer. W Hereas it's flill fuggefted by many, that M.Caffen is not Guilty of thoseErroneous Opinions often Charged against him, others also have Infinuated unto the Ignorant, that he hath feveral times at the general Assemblies at London, been found Not Guilty; especially at their last Association held at Horsy-down in Whitfon-week, 1700. where they alledge he disown'd Arisme, Sabellinsme, Apoliarism, and Socinianism, and faid that, if he had troubled the Churches, he was forry for it, &c. but as it pleased God I have more Evidence every day, and fince the aforegoing Sheets were at the Prefs, there hapned an accident Manifestation of these things, from his own Confession, before many People; the Account of which is as follows, viz.

AN

APPENDIX.

131

Upon Wednefday in Whitfon-meek this prefent. Year 1701. M. Caffen (being fent for by fome at Chatham in Kent) was there prefent, where a great many were met together, and by the defire of others he was met by fome from London; and the occafion of that Meeting was by reafon that one part were utterly against *M. C.* the osther part not believing him guilty of those Erroneous Principles, were favouring him and his Friends, and had Impoled on *D. B.* on the Church as an Administrator, that the other Party did not like of; he being an Indulger and

Favourite of those Corrupters of Truth ; and. fo they refus'd to Communicate with them; but both Parties being met together, with the faid *M.C.* present, and those from *London*, at the time aforefaid.

Those that fent for *M*. *C*. told the other, that they had fent for *M*. *C*. to know whether those that had accused him, would Tessifie that to that had accused him, would Tessifie that to his Face, which they had accused him of behind his Back, *viz.* That he had denied both the Divinity and Humanity of the SON of GOD, to this it was answer'd by one there of good Credit.

Ift. That he had heard M. Caffen fay, not only once, but many times, That Christ was not in any Sence the most High God, meaning that he was not of the fame Nature, Effence or Substance of God the Father, as he understood him.

2dly. That he had heard him fay many times, That the Son of God took no part of the Virgin.' M.C. did not deny this Charge, but inftead thereof did declare that he was of another Opinion, different from them therein, and did then, before all, deny Chrift to be the most high God, but endeavour'd to extenuate the matter, by faying that his Opinion was not of fo dangerous a Nature as they pretended; and charged them with being uncharitable, in accounting it a Damnable Herefie.

He was asked what Herefie that was which was not Damnable in its own Nature? and then he was Silent, whereupon he was told it was Scripture Language, and they had reafon to conclude his Opinion to be fo, feeing that he denyed both the Natures of Chrift, it was no other but a denying the LORD that Bought im, according to 2 Pet. 2. 1. 2ly.

2dly. As touching the Humane Nature of Chrift, he was fo far from denying what he had been charged with, that himfelf affirmed before all the People, that Christ was not made of the fubstance of his Mother, and this he argued upon, by faying that if he was made of the fubftance of the Virgin, he must be made of worfer Matter than the first Adam, &c.

3dly. He did deny God's Omniprefence, and asked one there, if he would dare to affirm that God was prefent in all places ? and did openly Ridicule that Opinion, by faying, he did not believe as fome did, That God was in Frogs and little Sticks and Stones, and Clods of Earth, &c. One told the People he could bear M.C. witnefs, that he did not believe God to be every where present; for he had told him about 40 Years ago, that he did believe God the Father to be in the shape of Man, or some such kind of Form or Shape, the which he did not deny, but told them before all the People that he did not know but he was now of the fame mind that he was of about 40 Years ago.

Te O

10 00

R.C. I st bear

lait

hic

The Iffue of this Meeting was very good, for (thanks be to God) that Church have refolved to have nothing to do with M. C. nor his Abettors for the future, but to have Communion with those that are Sound and Orthodox, being all convinced that M.C. ftands guilty of those grievous Herefies he was Charged with; yez, those that afore thought him wrong'd, now faw evidently by his own Confession, that he was every whit as Erroneous as he had been Charged with. 2dly. I think it needful here to give a fhort Account of that Meeting July Thed and Fourth, at Smarden 1699. LIG

the Occafion of it, feeing fome that may Read my Book may be Ignorant thereabout : The occasion of it was this. Not long before M, C. with fome others of his fide had a Quarterly Meeting, and there agreed unto, and drew up an Excommunication against one A. R. an Elder of a Congregation of Biddenden in Kent, alfo against one 7. S. a Teacher of that People ; it was also handed by feveral or most of their Names, and they appointed two Perfons, to wit, D. Allen and one D. B. to go with the faid written Excommunication to the Meetings of Biddenden People, and publish it openly against the faid Elder and Teacher, one, towit, D. A. (as I was told) performed his Meffage, the other did not; now after this the faid Elder and Teacher, to fhew their Innocency, and the true caufe . of their separation, Printed a Paper Intituled, Herefie Detelted, or Separation upon the Account of Herefie no Schifm, &c. which together with the unealinefs of fome Perfons relating to the aforefaid unjust Cenfure, passed by the Cafinit and Socinian party, wrought matters about fo, as that a Meeting was obtained, and by appointment and notice given aforehand, fome of feveral Churches came to hear the Cafe depending, that is those of the Orthodox, to know whether the faid A. R. and J. S. were guilty of those things pretended against them by the other part, as the ground of that Sentence ; and amongst the rest, there was 3 Orthodox Men from London, being fent for before-hand; on the other fide, those that were to prove the Charge, and defend their acting toward the ind two they had Excommunicated, had M.C. A. Tho. Benge, and one Mr. Ems of London.

AN APPENDIX. 135 together with G. B. the other Elder of Biddenden People, and many more, and the first day they Met the Matter was heard, and the Charges Read, which in the Excommunication are only two, to wit, That Bro. Robins, together with Brother J. Smith his abettor, are guilty of great Sin, in reflecting on, and speaking hard words concerning their Brethren, who differ from them in their Opinions. 2. And have increased their Sin, by making an unrighteous Rent and Schifm in the Church of Chrift, for which Transgreffion it is agreed, &c. Thefe are the very words, now mind. 1st. This Excommunication was drawn up by the Cafinite party, before the two Perfons they dealt thus with were ever fairly tri-2dly. At a place and time when they were both abfent, not being at all prefent at that Meet-3dly. It was done by those that were partial, and that many of them their accufers yet ing. fat as Judges to Condemn; but when the matter came to be Tryed at this Smarden Meeting, the accufations could not be proved in that Import they had laid them : For if. As to that of Refle-Eing on, and speaking hard words, &c. the fubftance they could prove, was no other but their Preaching and speaking against those Corrupt Errors, calling it Merefie, &c. which was true, fo that they made that aCrime in representing their Errors in their own proper Colour and Shape. 2dly. As to that of their being Charged with making a Rent and Unrighteous Schifm, &cc. They could not make their Separation Criminal, feeing those that were Excommunicated proved that they were forced to it, by reafon the Elder was not fuffered by one part to purge out those that were Corrupt. 3dly. Alfo their Elder, if

y of e 0'

220

Me

K4

not Teacher, was put by his place of Preaching by the other Elder, or fome of those of his fide; fo that the Refult at laft of that days work, wasthus, that thefe two were unjustly Excommunicated or Sentenced by the other part of M. C's. fide, and that they had no ground to do as they did, but that on the other hand those Errors and Herefies held and Indulged by one part of Biddenden People, and the faid Elder and Teacher not fuffered, according to their Confciences, to purge out the fame, and refufing to Communicate with fuch as they knew held them, was a fufficient ground for them to Separate : This Refolution was Signed by the Reprefentatives of feveral Churches there prefent; whereupon the other part not being pleafed with this, and being openly Charged with Herefie, it was agreed to Difpute their Principles next Day, between the Three Londoners on one fide, and M. C. and D. A. on the other fide, and I newly having got the Two Queffions they Difputed on, writ out by one, took them down in fhort Hand, I have Inferted them here, with a brief Hint of what followed in Debate, and are as follow, viz.

Quest. 1. Whether It be absolutely necessary to Christian Communion, to believe that Christ is effentially God of the same Essence with the Father. ø

Queft. 2. Whether it be abfolutely necessary to Christian Communion to believe that Christ is of the Substance of Mary his Mother.

These were the Two Questions Verbatim, Agreed to, and Entered upon, the London Friends were for the Affirmative, D. A. and M. C. for the Negative, and D. Allen in his deni-

denial and oppofing the other, denied Divine Worfhip to be given to Chrift as God, for he oppofed his being truly fo, equal with the Father; yet allowed Chrift to have Divine Adoration to be paid to him, for the Father, as faid he, all the Honour and Dignity the Son had be received of the Father.

2dly. M. C. Ridiculed us of the Orthodox, when he answered, faying, That if Christ was Man of our Nature and we Worshipped him that was a Creature of the Earth as he fegined we believed, then said he you are Idolaters and Blafphemers; but being asked what he believed Christ to be, a Creature or Creator, he would not answer plainly, but faid that he was in the Beginning, according to John 1. 1, and the Lord from Heaven, but it was urged to him, that he did not believe Christ to be either God or Man, truly fo, and he did not deny it.

1/2. Now let me a little open his deceit, when he Quotes John 1. 1. In the beginning was the word, and the word was with God, and the Word was God, his Notion is that the Word or Son was in the Beginning of the Creation before other things were made, God made him a Glorious Being, by whom he made the World. 2. That being fo made he was the Lord from Heaven, and called God; thus he wrefts the Text to his own corrupt Opinion, as the Socinians do the fame quite another way, faying, Chrift was in the beginning, that is, fay they, in the beginning of the Gospel: So horribly do they confuse the word, contrary to its true Senfe, which alfo faith HIS going forth hath been from of Old, from everlafting, or days of Eternity, Micab 5. 2.

2 dly.

137

138 AN ATTENDED AND A TENDED A TENDED

my Bed in Hell, behold thou are there 3dly. If any fhould think we are Idelaters for the reafon *M. C.* renders, I anfwer, it's not as Chrift is Man we are to Worfhip him, but as he is the true God, Equal with the Father, and as being fo, we are to give him equal Worand as being fo, with whom he is Equal.

thip with fifth, with the first to be Lynn, 4thly. If any fhould queftion the Credit of the aforefaid Account of Chatham Meeting, I had it from Credible Perfons, who were Eye and Ear witheffes to the matter, and are ready to Atteft the fame, if a Just occasion

adly. I have reason to mind my Reader again with Mr. Emes I have before mentioned, be. because he was at the aforefaid Smarden Meeting, and those of M. C's fide would have fain. Imposed him upon the Assembly for a Difputant of their Caufe, who was not long afore caft out of Church Communion, by a Congregation at London, for Herefie, and fince the foregoing Sheets were in the Prefs, I faw a large Bound Book of his, with his Name to it, full of Herefie and Blasphemy, for he is Erroneous concerning God the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, making Chrift out to be but a Man only, yet not of the Natural Substance of Mary. though he fays God Formed the Body of Chrift in Mary : For he denys Women Naturally to have Seed in them, be denies the Haly Ghaft so be the Efficient.

AN AFFENDIX. 139

Efficient Cause of that Conception, contrary to Mat. 1. and Luke 1. which Texts he ftrangely evades, and as to what Chrift hath done for Man, he utterly denys Christ was a Sacrifice or Satisfa-Every Attonement for Sinners to the Infinite Justice of God, for he calls this precious Doctrine, a Groundless, Abfurd and Impossible Fancy, and fays that the Sum and Substance, End and Defign of all Christ has done, does, or will do, in relation to Fallen Man's Recovery, is to convince Man be bath done evil, ---- and to perfuade him to change his Mind and Will as God Wills, &c. In a word, he intends that Chrift is to perfuade Man to Repent and Save bimself, which I affirm is impoffible for him to do ; and without a Satisfaction given to the Justice of God, and Grace, to accept of the Redemption wrought, let them fhew me how any actual Sinner can be faved.

But oh, how lamentable is it to confider what Corrupters of the Truth are fprung up in this Nation, not only in Kent and Suffex, but alfo in the Head City London, and in other Shires and Countys, and, as' I am Informed, Sociniani/m is got in amongst Conformists, as well as Non-conformifts; fo that leveral of the C ---- gy, as well as L ---- ty are Corrupt about the Being of Chrift and the Redemption wrought by him: What shall we fee Christ Trampled upon after fuch a dreadful rate, and ftill fit Silent! Can those that truly Love Christ, bear to hear him cryed out against? Saying like the Jews, We will have no King but Calar, no Saviour but their own Imperfect Obeidence; but the great God of Heaven in his Justice fent the Jews enough of Cafar, who about Forty Years

Years after destroyed their City, Temple, and Millions of People: So dreadful is the Story to Read, one would think it should make all dread and fear to undervalue him, which in his time he shall shew who is the Blessed and only Potentate, the KING of KINGS, and LORD of LORDS, 1 Tim. 6. 15. then let all Churches endeavour to purge out the old Leaven, that will elfe Leaven the whole Lump, 1 Cor. 5, 6, 7. I mean, purge them out of your Communions, not out of the World by Perfecution, no, Tares and the Wheat must grow together, till the End comes that God will divide them; for Perfecution is the wrong way, that God allows not of amongst Christians ; yet Christ's Church hath Power and Authority from God to Reject an Heretick after the first and fecond Admonition, that is, to deny them Communion at the Lord's Table, and difplace fuch out of Office or Place of Trust, from the Ministry to be fure, left they corrupt others.

If any Object, that I Expose them too much to the World, I answer, were it fo that a pretended Phyfician, should under fair pretences of doing good, Administring Remedics abroad, and Intermixing with his Medicinal Phyfick a great quantity of Poyfon, and thereby privily deftroy many; would it not be thought a very good Deed for any knowing Phyfician to discover to all, where he hath, or may come, the Malignity of his Remedies and the Deceit of fuch a Perfon in Vending them, yea, certainly all Men would be commending fuch a one, that may be hath faved their Lives by warning them of the Danger.

o read

notw

our Sou retence bel. but

141

But how much more is the Cafe of Souls, who readily fuck in *Poyfonous Doftrine* if they are not warned, Oh! have a Care, Poyfon for your Souls is prepared, and that under specious presences of the Vertual Remedies of the Gospectrum of the Vertual Remedies of the Spectrum of the Spectrum the whole World, and lose his own Soul? or What Spectrum of the Vertual Remedies of the Spectrum of the Spe

But left any fhould take an advantage by what, I have here writ, to reflect and caft all the evil of what I contend against, upon the whole Body of our Faith and Practice; as *Rofs* and others have affirmed, that the *Baptists* are deniers of the Holy Trinity, deniers of Christ, and that these Corruptions were hatched by feveral of their Leaders, Orc.

anfwer, it's well known that Chrift had a Judas amongst his Twelve Chosen Disciples, and can it be supposed no Corrupters of Truth in this latter Age will get in amongst the true Church of Chrift: Doth not the Apoftles themfelves affirm it, Acts 20. 29, 30. For I know that after my departure shall grievous Wolves enter in among TOU, not Sparing the flock, alfo of your own felves (hall Men arife, fpeaking perver fe things to draw away Disciples after them again, 1 Tim. 4. 1. Now the Spirit Speaketh express that in the latter times some Thall depart from the Faith, giving beed to feducing Spirits and Doctrines of Devils, &c. alfo 2. 2. 1. but there were falle Prophets also among the People, even as there shall be falfs Teachers among TOU, who privily shall bring

142

bring in Damnable Herefic, even denying the Lord that Bought them, &c. all this must be; but let not those that differ from us about Bapti(m, boaft against us that they are clear, when it's well known how unworthily foever Rofs hath Refented us, that the Founders of those Heresies cannot be more Charged upon those called falfely Anabaptists than upon themfelves, to wit, Arius and proud Palus Samofatenus, with others that were Bifhops in that day, and the first Revivers of the late Age, as Socinus and others in Poland were of another Faith; for Socinius was for no Water Baptism amongst Christian Societies, for it to be practifed upon any of them; nor yet upon fuch as were Born of Christian Parents, but faid it was only Inftituted for the Initiation of them that turned from a false Religion to the Religion of Chrift, See Dr. Owen's Preface in answer to Biddle, page. 36. But then if I Descend to John Biddle in England, who to be fure was no Anabaptist, he starts out of one of the Uni-versities, to wit, Oxford, of which he Stiles himself Master of Arts; and I suppose it will come as close home to the Conformist in this very day as to any. If it be remembred the Printed Speech of our prefent Gracious Sovereign, unto some of the B----ps, Not to Speak, Write, Discourfe, nor Preach any thing against the Holy Trinity, that with other Teftimonies, it's evident they have reason to purge their own Societies of those Corruptions that are got in amongst them, as well as we to purge it out from our Communities.

There-

Therefe

pt be o

loves pot to Si

Therefore last of all, let every one that loves the Lord Jefus Chrift, the Honour of God, and his Truth, fet to their Hand, to put to Silence fuch gainfayers of Truth, and not be overcome with their specious and fair pretences. Oh! fear to Indulge fuch in your Affemblies and Communities, tho' never fo dear unto you. Is not God and Chrift above all Relations in the World, Look then at their Dignity and Honour before Mens.

Bart Bart and Bart Bart Beer. p.

· Preside act, fatter horizon and angled. 10. Mar me me. n. 64 1. 21. 8. M. the firm land an prog. I. 17. 2. Intifrant r.

A martine and the state of the state of the state of ייזר יו גמרי גובעדר ד. ון יינותר ה בספר and the second sec 17. 1 19. 1 Hotout 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

an a state : paro. 1. 20. f. our r. ont.

the set of further to first and the i me wer ereterine p. . 6. 1. 2

FINIS.

ter to the and if both of an and the second second

IS!

DA TE GET TO BOD ONLY INCOM

By reafon of the Author's Absence from the Press, &c. fome Faults are made, whereof these following are to be mended by the Reader.

The ERRATA.

IN the Preface; page 6. line 18. read, or elfe it . could not be, and in 1. 19. blot out or elfe. p. 10.1. 13. f. Brothers r. Broachers. In Sett. 1. p. 3. 1.1. f. favourable'r. fair. p. 7. 1.21. r. 1 John, 1.34. f. Man r. Men. p. 16, 1. 30. f. our r. one. p. 20. 1. 37. f. for. to. p 22. f. Book r. Sheet. p. 26. 1. 3. and in all places where Cafin is r. Caffin. p. 27.1. 11. f. from r. for. 1. 20. f. Ift. r. 2dly. 1. 25. f. 2dly r. 3dly. p. 32. l. 7. f. 5ly r. 4ly. p. 29. 1.15. r. 3dly. In the Affirmitive, As to God, &c. D. 42. 1. 34. f. including r. excluding. p. 46. 1. 3. f. Apolinanis r. Apolinarits. p. 55. 1. 20. r. not of the uncreated, &c. 1.41.r. bis Friends had walked. p. 62. 1. 25. blot out not. p. 64. 1. 31. r. diftinenified from God as. p. 65. 1. 17. f. Substratt r. Substance. 1. 25. f. Strong r. Strange. p. 71. 1. 12. f. unvailed r. uncreated. 1. 25. r. For first of all. p. 73.1.3.r. 1 John. p. 76. 1.21. blot out 8. p.69. 1. 33. f. John 1. 17. r. John 8. 24. p. 84. 1. 25. f. agree r. know. p. 101. l. 12. f. Creature r. created. p. 104. l. 34. f. and Hearts r. of Hearts. p. 106. 1. 25. f. and be r. tobe. p. 110. 1. 21. f. Numan r. Human. p. 117. 1. 15. blot out fo. p. 116. 1. 23. f. Nature r. Natures. p. 120. 1. 7. r. at other times figned Articles. There are also fome Mif-pointings, and in fome places fome Words are wanting, that would make it better Grammar.

Efficacy of Christ's offering up himself a sacrifice, p. \$1.82. Excommunication paffed by Caffenites against the p. 11, 123, 134. Orthodox, Ems's blasphemous Doctrine and Denial of Christ, p. 22,47,138. Eastwick's Comparison about the Person of p. 48. Chrift, Engagement Man brought back, unto, glorious, p. 77 to 79. Fig firdd yd Fundamental Doctrine to know God and Christ, P. 121. Fathers of old excluded not the Son or Holy Spirit, p.IZI. and the was Filth of Reb.man done away only by Christ's Blood, P. 79: Flesh and Blood of Mankind Chrift took of, not Heavenly, Forgery of Caffen and others, in altering and reprinting the ancient Confession of Faith, p. 120. Of God the Father, shewing, 1. The means we are to know him by. 2. What he is. How we are to pay Wor (hip to him, from p. 21 to 44. God's discovering himself in the Old and New Teftament, p. 36 to 44. God, not in any Form or Shape, nor confin'd to place p. 24,25,68,138. Glory to be given to Christ, because he is God's Equal p. 58,138. How Chrift differs from the Father, and how no:, p. 45. Holy Spirit treated of in 8 Particulars, p.84 to 90. Hely Spir. not a principal Angel, nor created, p.86 Holinels

P. 106

lo fone

Holiness of the Spirit not the same as Creatures, but as Creator, p. 86. Humane Nature of Christ treated of p. 66 to 71, and 80, 82. Hypocrify to communicate with those not agreed, P. 83, 84. Honour to Chrift, equal with the Father, P. 34. Immutability of God, against the Denyers therep. 83, 104. Justification by Christ alone, vindicated, p. 81, 105, 107. Interceffion made by Chrift for Man, a great p. 76. Work? Independency of God, wrongfully expounded by p. 28. Allen, Infallibility, not in Man, but in Chrift and his Dostrine, p. 121,122. Tchovah is applied to Chrift, but not to any Creap. 121. Immortality of Man's Soul, vindicated, p. 53,54. Jews rage against Christ, as God; and his Judgture, ment on them, P. 139,140. Jehu-like driving replied to, P. p. 123. Knowledge of God and his Son, not a Circump. 67, 69, 121: Rance, Knowledge of God and Christ, not by Reason only, Kingly Office of Christ, of great benefit, p. 50. King Jesus his Ransom beyond all Kings, p. 79,80. Law of God valuable, and Man to keep it holy, ree p. 76,77. Law being broke by all Men, leaves them under the Curfe, P.76. Lapful

1 20

he

The TABLE.

Lawful to pay Adoration to none but God; yet noe thereby excluding the Son or Holy Spirit, p.34, 35,42,66. Lowe and Forbearance made a Mask to hide Error, Lamentable Ends and Deaths of Hereticks, p. 111,112,

M

Months of Athanafians forced open by Arians, to receive the Sacrament with them, p. 114. Moderation, what it is, and what not, p. 17 to 21; Macedonius his Cruelty against the Athanafian Christians, P. 113, 114. Magick fally charged on Athanafius, P. 112. Mercion's Herefy, and his bloting out Chrift's -Genealogy, P. 95. Manhood of Christ vindicated, p. 81,82. Mode and manner of Subfistence, not the difference, 7.15. Mahomet's Herefy, and how fet up, p. 100, 101. Two Natures of Christ, both denied by Caffen, p. 46,51. A Name only left Christ by the Denyers of his Natures, Nature of Man, not of Angels, took by Christ, p. 67,80,81. Neighbour to be loved as our felves, Nicolaitan's and Gnoflick's Errors, P. 93. P. 77. Natural Reason cannot discern Divine Myster p. 126,127. Necessity of the Knowledge of God and Chrst. p. 128 Oath of God, that Christ fould take Elesh of Da-Offices of Christ treated of, p. 4.6,51. P. 14,50 to 54. Obedience

r Co

The TABLE.

Obedience of Christ for Man, both active and p. 75. paffive. Objections about the words Us and Our, answer'd, p. 43.

Obj. about the two Natures of Chrift, being two Perfons, answer'd, P. 47,48.

Obj. about the Defilement of that Nature Chrift p. 80 to 82. partook of.

Obj. that Christ is called the Son of God, as conceived by the Holy Spirit, answer'd, p. 125. Obj. about a Father's Being before a Son in time, ine Astranaita p. 124,125.

Obj. about the Translation of the Scriptures, an-Swer'd. p. 124.

Obj. about Signing the Church of England's Articles, answer'd, D. 122.

Obj. that we Rent from them for want of Charity, p. 122. anfiver?d.

Orthodox Mencited by Allen, are against him, p. 16,30,31. 101,001.9 .70

Plural Number, God discovered himself in 6 Inp. 37 to 40. frances. Person of Christ not agreed about shew'd, p. 14,

44 to 50.

Power of Christ not given him as God, p. 56 to 63. Price paid by Chrift, as God and Man, valuable, P. 9,51,77 to 82.

Pelagius his Error about Original Sin, &c. p. 99. Poisonous Dostrines, dangerous for Souls, p.141. Polycarpus's Saying to an Heretick, p. 95.1 Perfecution of the Athanafians by Arius, was p. 112 to 115. horrible,

Perfecution is not God's way to root out Error by, p. 140.

Quickning Grace, not from Man's self, but the p. 87. Holy Spirit,

